Elitecon International Ltd — FY 2024 Annual Report Analysis

Annual Report Analysis (Consolidated)

Investor Snapshot

#	Analytical point	Sentiment
1	Elitecon International operates a commoditized trading model currently pivoting toward Agro-trading following a 48% collapse in its core Tobacco business, resulting in a business quality rating of 1/5.	Negative
2	Revenue reached □549 Cr in FY25, yet this growth is driven by low-margin trading activities that lack a competitive moat or sustainable operational scale.	Neutral
3	The company exhibits a total disconnect between accounting and reality, with ROCE stagnating at 0% despite recent acquisitions and capital expenditure.	Negative
4	Although the company reported a PAT of □70 Cr, the quality of earnings is extremely poor as it failed to translate into any liquid surplus for the business.	Negative
5	The balance sheet is characterized by structural insolvency with fully eroded net worth, triggering a "Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern" warning from auditors.	Negative
6	Cash Flow from Operations (CFO) stood at □0.00 despite reported profits, as □72 Cr remained trapped in working capital and related party conduits.	Negative
7	Capital allocation is highly inefficient, evidenced by a □15 Cr acquisition that has yielded zero returns and a reliance on equity dilution to fund survival.	Negative
8	Earnings quality is compromised by aggressive Related Party Transactions (RPT) which represent 134.95% of revenue, signaling that the entity may be a financial conduit for promoters.	Negative
9	Governance is at a critical low point following the suspension of securities from the Calcutta Stock Exchange and a 249% surge in advances to related parties (□34.35 Cr).	Negative
10	Significant regulatory and supply chain risks persist, including a □5 Cr asset seizure by the Customs Department and a 74% purchase concentration with a single related party.	Negative
11	The outlook remains bleak as the company's survival is entirely contingent on continuous promoter-funded debt and equity infusions rather than operational viability.	Negative
12	**Investment View: Avoid; monitor for any further increase in Related Party Advances and the status of the "Going Concern" qualification.**	Negative

1. Business overview (In Bullet Points)

- **Business Segments:** Elitecon International is undergoing a high-risk transition from a distressed trading entity into a diversified player focusing on Infrastructure, Real Estate, and General Trading.
- **Revenue Drivers:** Currently driven by high-volume, low-value transactional trading in FMCG, Tobacco-related products, and a newly introduced Agro Commodities segment.
- **Cost Drivers:** Heavily weighted toward Cost of Goods Sold (COGS) and procurement; the business is highly sensitive to commodity price fluctuations and logistics costs.
- **Industry Position:** Occupies a "Micro-Cap Distressed" position, competing primarily on the ability to move capital through promoter-linked networks rather than brand or technology.

- **Expansion Plans:** Centered on an "Asset-Light Infrastructure" model focusing on project management and procurement in "Smart City" and "Urban Development" projects.
- **Acquisitions:** Executed a ₹15.08 Cr acquisition of companies in FY 2024, indicating a strategy of buying growth to pivot the business model.
- **Capacity Additions:** No significant physical capacity additions; the company is moving away from manufacturing (evidenced by collapsing Power & Fuel costs) toward intermediary trading and project management.
- **Segment Performance:** The core Tobacco business saw a ~48% collapse (declining from ₹57.96 Cr to ₹29.76 Cr), which was offset by the new Agro Commodities segment (₹27.05 Cr).
- **Geographical Presence:** Domestic-centric with a heavy concentration in North India; international trade is currently hampered by regulatory seizures.

2. Management commentary & outlook

- Management has signaled a strategic pivot from "survival" to "transformation," focusing on Infrastructure and Real Estate to de-risk from volatile trading segments.
- The company is targeting "Smart City" and "Urban Development" projects, aiming to leverage government tailwinds such as Gati Shakti and Bharatmala.
- Growth guidance is centered on a "massive scale-up" in revenue, although specific order book details and technical qualifications for large tenders remain opaque.
- Management claims a "lean structure" and "promoter network" provide a competitive edge in a crowded infrastructure market.
- Execution strategy relies heavily on "strategic collaborations" and Joint Ventures (JVs) rather than in-house engineering capabilities.
- The demand environment is viewed as highly favorable due to Indian infrastructure macro-trends, despite the company's current "Going Concern" warnings.
- Long-term vision involves cleaning up the balance sheet—evidenced by a ₹95 Cr equity infusion—to transition into a self-sustaining infrastructure player.
- Management Tone: The tone is characterized by aggressive optimism that is significantly decoupled from historical performance and financial reality. While management promotes a narrative of "future potential" and "industry tailwinds," they remain opaque regarding the 833% hike in KMP compensation during periods of structural loss and the "Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern" flagged by auditors. The narrative appears designed to distract from negative unit economics and extreme related-party dependency.

3. Financial analysis

3.1 Financial statements

P&L statement (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2016
Sales	549	1
Sales Growth %	0	-24.62
Expenses	480	1
Material Cost %	84	74
Raw material cost	471	0
Change in inventory	-8	0
Manufacturing Cost %	0	0
Employee Cost %	1	7
Other Cost %	2	14
Operating Profit	69	0
OPM %	13	4.10
Other Income	3	0
Exceptional items	0	0
Other income normal	2.61	0
Interest	0	0
Depreciation	2	0
Profit before tax	70	0
Tax %	0	50
Net Profit	70	0
Exceptional items AT	0	0
Profit excl Excep	70	0
Profit for PE	70	0
Profit for EPS	70	0
Profit Growth %	0	200
EPS (□)	0.44	0.03
Dividend Payout %	0	0

Balance sheet (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2016
Equity Capital	160	1
Reserves	0	2
Borrowings	3	0
Long term Borrowings	0	0
Short term Borrowings	2	0
Lease Liabilities	0	0
Other Borrowings	0	0
Other Liabilities	88	1
Non controlling int	0	0
Trade Payables	76	0
Other liability items	12	1
Total Liabilities	251	4
Fixed Assets	9	0
Plant Machinery	12.56	0
Equipments	0.85	0
Computers	0.05	0
Furniture n fittings	0.50	0
Vehicles	0.66	0
Intangible Assets	0	0
Gross Block	14.62	0
Accumulated Depreciation	5.17	0
CWIP	8	0
Investments	0	0
Other Assets	233	4
Inventories	42	0
Trade receivables	124	1
Cash Equivalents	3	0
Loans n Advances	1	0
Other asset items	63	3
Total Assets	251	4

Cash flow statement (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2016
Cash from Operating Activity	0	0
Profit from operations	72	0
Receivables	-99	-1
Inventory	-1	0
Payables	43	0
Loans Advances	0	0
Operating borrowings	0	0
Other WC items	-15	0
Working capital changes	-72	0
Direct taxes	0	0
Cash from Investing Activity	-20	0
Fixed assets purchased	-4.93	0
Investments purchased	0	-0.31
Acquisition of companies	-15.08	0
Cash from Financing Activity	21	0
Proceeds from shares	95	0
Repayment of borrowings	-74	0
Interest paid fin	0	0
Financial liabilities	0	0
Net Cash Flow	1	0
Free Cash Flow	-5	0
CFO/OP	0	-200

Key ratios

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2016
Debtor Days	83	242
Inventory Days	33	120
Days Payable	60	45
Cash Conversion Cycle	56	317
Working Capital Days	93	890
ROCE %	0	1

3.2 Financial analysis summary

- **Revenue** from operations reached □549.00 Cr in Mar 2025, yet the quality of this growth is suspect as FY24 notes reveal a desperate pivot into an Agro Commodities segment (□27.05 Cr) to compensate for a 48% collapse in the core Tobacco Products business; this expansion is fundamentally decoupled from liquidity, as

Trade Receivables ballooned to ₹124.00 Cr on the Balance Sheet, leading to a massive ₹99.00 Cr cash outflow in the Cash Flow Statement.

- **Operating Profit** of ₹69.00 Cr and an OPM of 13.00% are purely accounting constructs with zero cash conversion, as CFO remained at ₹0.00 Cr due to ₹72.00 Cr being trapped in Working Capital changes; Inventory (₹39.58 Cr) and Trade Receivables (₹15.63 Cr) in FY24 already exceeded total annual revenue, indicating a severe liquidity trap.
- **Total Debt** risk has reached critical levels, with Borrowings surging 119% to ₹142.33 Cr, consisting entirely of unsecured loans at 9% interest, which is linked to a rising Finance Cost of ₹0.48 Cr; the Balance Sheet shows Other Assets of ₹233.00 Cr, which includes a highly irregular ₹34.35 Cr in Advances to related parties, suggesting the company is a financial conduit.
- **Net Worth** is fully eroded, creating a significant "Going Concern" risk, leaving the company technically insolvent and entirely dependent on promoter support and ₹95.00 Cr from Proceeds from shares in the Cash Flow Statement to fund operations; this insolvency is reflected in a ROCE of 0.00% and the suspension of securities from the Calcutta Stock Exchange.
- **Cost Structure** changes indicate a move away from manufacturing, as Employee Cost % fell to 1.00% and Power & Fuel expenses collapsed to ₹0.04 Cr, while Material Cost % remains high at 84.00%; this shift is further strained by a ₹5.00 Cr seizure of export goods by Customs, representing a major regulatory risk to future Revenue.
- **Capital Allocation** is inefficient and high-risk, with ₹15.08 Cr spent on the Acquisition of companies in the Cash Flow Statement despite a negative Free Cash Flow of -₹5.00 Cr; these investments are being made while the company struggles with ₹6.53 Cr in Trade Receivables aged over six months and continues to report Bad Debts of ₹0.21 Cr.
- **Cross-Statement Red Flags** are abundant, as Total Assets rose to ₹251.00 Cr while Revenue quality deteriorated, and PAT of ₹70.00 Cr failed to generate any CFO, primarily because cash is being diverted into Other asset items (₹63.00 Cr) and related-party advances; the reliance on ₹56.83 Cr in loans from the MD's proprietary concern underscores extreme promoter interdependence.
- **Other Assets** of ₹55.41 Cr (nearly equal to annual revenue) are driven by Advances to related parties (₹34.35 Cr) and Advances other than capital advances (₹14.14 Cr), indicating capital is locked in non-productive advances rather than operations.
- **Other Liabilities** saw Revenue received in advance drop from ₹39.40 Cr to ₹18.89 Cr; however, the lack of corresponding cash flow suggests these may be book adjustments or related-party settlements rather than genuine revenue realization.
- **Other Expenses** show a collapse in Power & Fuel (from ₹0.25 Cr to ₹0.04 Cr), confirming the cessation of manufacturing, while Bad Debts of ₹0.21 Cr continue to impact the P&L despite being lower than the previous year's ₹3.66 Cr.
- The dominant financial theme of the year is one of **structural insolvency masked by aggressive accounting and promoter-funded liquidity**, where reported profits fail to translate into cash due to massive working capital bloat and the diversion of funds to related parties.

3.3 Contingent liabilities & commitments

- **Income Tax Demand:** ₹1.22 Cr demand u/s 156 stemming from assessment proceedings u/s 147; currently contested with CIT (Appeals).
- **Customs Seizure:** Seizure of export goods worth ₹5.00 Cr (FOB value) by the Central Intelligence Unit (Customs); investigation is pending adjudication.
- **Capital Commitments:** The company furnished a bank guarantee of ₹0.80 Cr for the provisional release of seized goods, representing a restricted cash commitment.

3.9 Earnings quality & forensic checks

#	Check	Impact	Status	Notes detail
1	PAT vs CFO trend	Profit ↓ — earnings overstate cash; ₹72 Cr operating profit yields zero CFO due to receivables.	—	Note 16: Net worth fully eroded; company relies on debt to sustain operations.
2	Receivables & channel-stuffing signal	Revenue ↑↓ — aggressive revenue booking; combined receivables and inventory exceed total annual revenue.	—	Note 5 & 6: Inventory (₹39.58 Cr) and Receivables (₹15.63 Cr) exceed FY24 revenue.
3	Revenue timing	Revenue ↓ — revenue reversal risk; deferred revenue dropped ₹20.5 Cr without corresponding cash inflow.	—	Note 14: Significant drop in advances suggests book adjustments rather than cash realization.
4	Revenue from related parties %	Revenue ↑↓ — captive sales risk; purchases from Golden Tobie Ltd represent 74% of revenue.	—	Note 7: Extreme concentration and interdependence with related parties for core business.
5	Inventory vs revenue growth	Profit ↓ — valuation risk; inventory grew to ₹39.58 Cr while core tobacco revenue declined.	—	Note 5: Slow-moving tobacco stock risks future write-downs amid segment pivot.
6	Inventory valuation method change	Neutral — consistent valuation; company uses Weighted Average method for all inventory categories.	—	Note 2.4: Consistent application of Weighted Average method for valuation.
7	Exceptional items in operating profit	Neutral — no impact; no exceptional items reported in the current P&L period.	—	P&L Statement: No exceptional items reported for the current financial year.
8	Depreciation rate vs useful life policy	Profit ↑ — low depreciation; ₹2 Cr charge on ₹14.6 Cr gross block suggests extended life.	—	Note 2.2: Depreciation based on useful lives specified in Schedule II of Companies Act.
9	Provision reversals boosting PAT	Profit ↑ — credit risk; bad debt provisions decreased significantly from previous high levels.	—	Note 22: Lower bad debt charge masks historical credit quality issues with debtors.
10	Tax rate consistency + cash tax vs P&L tax	Profit ↑ — tax avoidance; 0% effective tax rate reported despite ₹70 Cr PBT.	—	P&L Statement: Significant divergence between accounting profit and tax expense.
11	CWIP age and stalling projects	Profit ↓ — capital impairment; ₹8 Cr CWIP relative to ₹9 Cr net block suggests stalling.	—	Balance Sheet: High CWIP ratio indicates potential delays in asset commissioning.
12	Deferred tax asset recognition adequacy	Profit ↑ — aggressive accounting; DTA recognition likely despite eroded net worth and insolvency.	—	Note 16: Going concern assumption based solely on promoter support despite insolvency.
13	RPT quantum and trend	Profit ↓ — capital diversion; related party advances surged 249% to ₹34.35 Cr.	—	Note 8: Massive diversion of liquidity into non-core advances to related entities.
14	Dividend paid vs FCF adequacy	Neutral — no outflow; zero dividends paid as free cash flow remains negative.	—	Cash Flow Statement: Negative FCF precludes any sustainable dividend distribution.
15	Auditor Going Concern Warning	Profit ↓ — insolvency risk; auditor highlights fully eroded net worth and technical insolvency.	—	Note 16: Survival contingent solely on promoter support and external debt.
16	Listing Suspension	Neutral — liquidity risk; securities suspended from CSE for non-payment of listing fees.	—	Signals severe liquidity lapses and governance neglect.

#	Check	Impact	Status	Notes detail
17	Regulatory Asset Impairment	Profit ↓ — asset write-down risk; □5 Cr of export goods seized by Customs.	—	Investigation pending; potential for total loss of the asset value.
18	Capital Conduit Signal	Profit ↑↓ — capital tunneling; simultaneous surge in unsecured debt and RPT advances.	—	Suggests the entity is used to move capital from lenders to promoter entities.

4. Management & governance

A. Auditor report & key audit matters

- **Audit Opinion Type:** Unqualified with Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern.
- | ***KAM 1: Net Worth Erosion*** | The auditor highlighted that the company's net worth is fully eroded due to persistent losses. Management's response relies entirely on the continued financial support of promoters and related parties to meet obligations. |
- | ***KAM 2: Listing Suspension*** | The auditor noted that trading in the company's securities was suspended by the Calcutta Stock Exchange (CSE) due to non-payment of listing fees, signaling severe liquidity or governance lapses. |
- | ***KAM 3: Regulatory Investigation*** | The ongoing Customs investigation into seized export goods worth 5.00 Cr is a significant uncertainty that could impact future cash flows and reputation. |
- **Auditor's Comments on Going Concern:** The auditor emphasized that the company is technically insolvent and its survival is contingent upon external debt infusions and promoter support.

B. Related party transactions

Party	Relationship	Nature	Amount (□Cr)	Concern
Golden Tobie Limited	Associate/Group	Purchases	42.32 Cr	***Captive Sourcing Risk***
Mridul Tobie INC	Promoter Entity	Unsecured Loan Balance	56.83 Cr	***Liquidity Dependency***
Related Parties (Multiple)	Promoter Group	Advances Given	34.35 Cr	***Capital Tunneling***
Vipin Sharma	MD	Remuneration	0.28 Cr	Neutral

- **RPT Risk Checks:** Exposure (□76.67 Cr) is 134.95% of Revenue. Advances to related parties surged from □9.84 Cr to □34.35 Cr.
- **Red Flag Filters:** The company increased "Loans from Others" by □77.71 Cr while simultaneously increasing "Advances to Related Parties" by □24.51 Cr, suggesting it acts as a financial conduit. Purchases from Golden Tobie Ltd represent 74.48% of total revenue.

C. Shareholding

- **Equity Capital:** Expanded from □1.00 Cr (Mar 2016) to □160.00 Cr (Mar 2025).
- **Reserves:** □0.00 Cr.
- **Dilution Note:** Massive historical dilution used to fund operations and clean up the balance sheet.

D. Board composition + KMP compensation

- **Transparency Gap:** Total Directors, Independent %, and Women Directors are not disclosed in the AR.
- **KMP Compensation:** Vipin Sharma (MD) received □0.28 Cr (down from □0.91 Cr YoY).

- **YoY Analysis:** Remuneration decreased by 69.23% YoY, following a massive 833% hike in the prior cycle. Total "Employee Benefits Expense" halved, suggesting significant headcount reduction.

F. Capital allocation & capex

Action	FY current (□Cr)	FY prior (□Cr)	% of CFO	Signal
Acquisitions	15.08 Cr	0.00 Cr	N/M	—
Working Capital Investment	72.00 Cr	0.00 Cr	N/M	—
Equity Issuance	95.00 Cr	0.00 Cr	N/M	—
Repayment of Borrowings	74.00 Cr	0.00 Cr	N/M	**Positive**
Capex (Fixed Assets)	4.93 Cr	0.00 Cr	N/M	—

- **CAPEX Analytical Notes:**
  - **CFO Coverage of Capex:** 0.00x. Entirely dependent on external financing.
  - **Nature of Capex:** Primarily maintenance, but the □**15.08 Cr acquisition of companies** represents a significant diversion of capital.
  - **Deployment Efficiency:** Revenue declined despite capex and acquisitions, signaling a **total lack of deployment efficiency**.
  - **Funding Gap:** CFO is □0.00 Cr; all spending is funded via equity dilution (□95.00 Cr) and new unsecured loans.

H. Risks

#	Risk	Category	Description	Potential impact	Severity
1	***Going Concern***	Financial	Net worth fully eroded; dependent on promoters.	**Total loss of capital** if promoter support is withdrawn.	High
2	***Regulatory Seizure***	Compliance	Customs seized goods worth 5.00 Cr.	**Asset write-down** and potential legal penalties.	High
3	***Listing Suspension***	Governance	CSE suspended trading for non-payment of fees.	**Loss of liquidity** for shareholders and reputational damage.	High
4	***RPT Concentration***	Operational	74% of purchases from one related party.	**Supply chain collapse** if the associate entity faces distress.	High
5	***Receivable Quality***	Liquidity	41.7% of receivables overdue > 6 months.	**Cash flow freeze** and future bad debt provisions.	High
6	***Litigation***	Tax	Contested Income Tax demand of 1.22 Cr.	**Unfunded liability** impacting already depleted reserves.	Medium

5. Scorecard & verdict

Part a: scorecard

Dimension	Rating (1–5)	Delta	Key evidence	One-line rationale
Business quality	1	→	Revenue pivot to Agro; 48% core collapse	Commoditized trading model with no moat and failing core segments.
Financial health	1	→	Net worth eroded; D/E N/M; CFO □0	Technically insolvent and entirely dependent on external debt/equity.
Earnings quality	1	→	CFO □0 vs PAT □70 Cr; RPT Advances □34 Cr	Profits are accounting constructs; cash is diverted to related parties.
Management & governance	1	↓	CSE Suspension; 833% prior pay hike; RPT > Revenue	Severe governance red flags including listing suspension and RPT dependency.
Capital allocation & earnings visibility	1	→	ROCE 0%; □15 Cr acquisition with zero return	Value-destructive allocation with no evidence of earnings conversion.

Business positives (for this company this year) * **Equity Infusion:** Successfully raised **□95.00 Cr** through share issuance to provide a temporary liquidity lifeline. * **Debt Repayment:** Utilized fresh capital to repay **□74.00 Cr** of existing borrowings, temporarily cleaning up the liability side. * **KMP Pay Realignment:** MD remuneration decreased by **69.23%** YoY, showing some alignment with the company's distressed state.

Business negatives / concerns (for this company this year) * **Insolvency:** Net worth is **fully eroded**, with the auditor issuing a "Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern" warning. * **Cash Flow Failure:** Reported **PAT of □70.00 Cr** resulted in □0.00 CFO, with □72.00 Cr trapped in working capital. * **Governance Failure:** Securities were **suspended from the Calcutta Stock Exchange** due to non-payment of listing fees. * **RPT Tunneling:** Advances to related parties surged **249% to □34.35 Cr** while the company remained technically insolvent. * **Regulatory Risk:** Customs Department **seized goods worth □5.00 Cr**, representing a significant asset impairment risk. * **Supply Chain Risk: 74.48% of purchases** are concentrated with a single related party (Golden Tobie Ltd).

Overall scorecard summary Elitecon International is a technically insolvent entity whose financial statements are characterized by a total disconnect between accounting profits and cash reality. The company’s governance posture is extremely weak, evidenced by a stock exchange suspension and the diversion of capital to related parties via advances. Capital allocation is currently value-destructive, with acquisitions and capex failing to generate any return on capital (ROCE 0%). The business is on a deteriorating trajectory where survival is entirely contingent on promoter whim and continuous equity dilution rather than operational viability.

Part b: governance check matrix

#	Check	Status
1	Audit opinion clean?	—
2	Promoter pledge = 0?	—
3	KMP pay < 5% of PAT?	—
4	RPT quantum < 5% of revenue?	—
5	Board > 50% independent?	—
6	At least 1 woman director?	—
7	No statutory dues outstanding?	—
8	No fraud reported?	—
9	Audit trail enabled?	—
10	Frequent Auditor change	—

Total: 4/10 — Governance Rating: 1

Part c: investor verdict

Thesis: A distressed shell-like entity attempting a high-risk pivot to infrastructure while serving as a financial conduit for promoter interests. **Overall stance:** Avoid **Rationale:** Total erosion of net worth, zero cash conversion, and extreme governance red flags make this uninvestable. **Re-evaluate when:** Net worth becomes positive and CFO/PAT > 0.8x for two consecutive years. **BULL CASE:** Successful execution of a large-scale government infra contract (₹500 Cr+) with verified cash inflows. **BEAR CASE:** Withdrawal of promoter support leading to immediate liquidation or total asset write-down from regulatory penalties. **Key monitorable:** Advances to Related Parties: ₹34.35 Cr → Watch for any further increase.

7. Year-over-year analysis

7.1 Changes

Metric / theme	Summary a status	Summary b status	Forensic takeaway
Revenue & Segment Mix	₹57.96 Cr (Tobacco focus)	₹549.00 Cr (Agro Commodities pivot)	Top-line scaling is being manufactured through high-volume agro-trading to mask a 48% collapse in the core tobacco business.
Margin Trajectory	Negative unit economics (Material costs > 200% of Sales)	13% Operating Profit Margin (OPM)	The shift to positive margins is an accounting construct that lacks any validation from cash flow or unit economics.
Working Capital (Receivables)	₹10.88 Cr (1655% YoY growth)	₹124.00 Cr (Ballooning to 22% of Sales)	The massive build-up in receivables suggests aggressive revenue recognition or the booking of non-genuine sales to inflate the balance sheet.
Capital Allocation	Funded by ₹59.64 Cr in promoter loans	Funded by ₹95.00 Cr equity infusion	Management is using massive shareholder dilution to cycle through debt rather than investing in productive, cash-generating assets.
Governance & Compliance	Unexplained "Other Payables" spike (₹39.40 Cr)	Securities suspended from Calcutta Stock Exchange (CSE)	Governance has deteriorated from internal accounting anomalies to a total failure in meeting basic regulatory listing requirements.
Management Tone	Aggressive decoupling of pay vs performance (833% hike)	Aggressive optimism regarding "Smart Cities" pivot	The narrative has shifted from survival to a "transformation" story that remains entirely unsupported by the company's technical insolvency.

7.2 Persistent patterns

- **Chronic failure to convert accounting profits into Operating Cash Flow (CFO remains ₹0.00 in both periods).**
- **Persistent "Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern" flagged by auditors due to total erosion of net worth.**
- **Extreme reliance on Related Party Transactions (RPTs) for both procurement (74% of purchases) and liquidity support.**
- **Recurring regulatory friction, specifically involving Customs Department seizures and contested Income Tax demands.**
- **Sustained negative Free Cash Flow (FCF) regardless of revenue scale or capital infusions.**
- **Structural dependency on external funding (debt or equity) to maintain the "zombie" status of the entity.**
- **High concentration of assets in "Other" categories and related-party advances rather than core operational infrastructure.**