Kirloskar Electric Company Ltd — FY 2025 Annual Report Analysis

Annual Report Analysis (Consolidated)

Investor Snapshot

#	Analytical point	Sentiment
1	Kirloskar Electric operates as a distressed legacy engineering firm in technical insolvency, where core manufacturing is non-viable and survival depends on the liquidation of land assets.	Negative
2	Revenue declined 2.43% YoY to ₹544 Cr, led by a 4.08% contraction in the core Rotating Machines segment, with R&D spending at a negligible 0.05% of turnover.	Negative
3	Operating margins remain thin at 3%, with the core business generating an operational loss of -₹6.00 Cr when excluding non-recurring items.	Negative
4	Reported PAT of ₹4 Cr is entirely artificial, driven by a ₹9.95 Cr exceptional gain from asset sales rather than operational efficiency.	Negative
5	Financial health is critical with a D/E of 1.02x and high-cost secured debt serviced at an exorbitant 16.5% interest rate, consuming all core profits.	Negative
6	Cash Flow from Operations (CFO) reached ₹36.00 Cr, though this was primarily fueled by the liquidation of ₹15.81 Cr in old trade receivables rather than new business.	Neutral
7	Capital allocation is value-destructive with an ROCE of 8% sitting well below the cost of debt, and no meaningful growth capex to support an "EV pivot."	Negative
8	Earnings quality is poor, characterized by a qualified audit opinion on ₹22.19 Cr of receivables and 43% of gross trade receivables being aged over 3 years.	Negative
9	Governance signals are highly misaligned, featuring a 30-35% KMP pay hike (totaling ₹10.71 Cr) despite a "Going Concern" qualification and eroded net worth.	Negative
10	Significant systemic risk exists due to 75.42% of promoter shares being pledged, creating a high probability of forced liquidation or change in control.	Negative
11	Outlook remains bleak, dependent on the slow-motion monetization of legacy assets to offset a burn rate driven by interest costs and executive compensation.	Negative
12	Investment View: Avoid; monitor for Interest Coverage > 3.0x and Promoter Pledge < 20% as primary indicators for re-evaluation.	Negative

1. Business overview (In Bullet Points)

- **Business Segments:** The company operates primarily in two segments: Rotating Machines Group (Motors, Alternators, and Generators) and Power Generation and Distribution (Transformers).
- **Revenue Drivers:** Revenue is primarily driven by industrial demand for electrical equipment, with the Rotating Machines Group contributing 49.80% and Power Generation contributing 42.41% of total turnover.
- **Cost Drivers:** Major costs include raw materials (70% of sales), specifically copper (₹147.37 Cr), and high finance costs (4.47% of revenue) due to high-interest debt.
- **Industry Position:** Positioned as a legacy player in the electrical engineering space, currently attempting a pivot toward "Make in India" initiatives and the EV/Solar sectors.

- **Expansion Plans:** Management highlights a strategic shift toward EV motors and solar inverters, though R&D spending remains negligible at 0.05% of turnover.
- **Acquisitions & Mergers:** Filed for the merger of four wholly-owned subsidiaries (KELBUZZ, Luxquisite, SLPKG, and SKG Terra) into the parent company to consolidate stagnant land assets and aged receivables.
- **Capacity Additions:** No significant capacity additions; the company discontinued component machining at Unit-15, suggesting a "harvesting" of remaining industrial capacity.
- **Segment Performance:** Rotating Machines Group saw a 4.08% contraction (₹270.80 Cr), while Power Generation and Distribution fell to ₹230.66 Cr.
- **Geographical Presence:** Heavily concentrated in India (94.55% of revenue), with export revenue growing 103% from a low base to ₹27.23 Cr.

2. Management commentary & outlook

- Strategic shift from "asset-stripping for survival" to "structural consolidation" via the merger of insolvent SPVs back into the parent company.
- Management narrative focuses on resilience and an "EV pivot," citing "Make in India" and "Atmanirbhar Bharat" as primary growth drivers.
- Guidance highlights successful supplies to hydro and solar projects as evidence of traction in new-age energy sectors.
- Industry outlook is presented as positive due to government infrastructure spending, though competitive intensity remains high from global and well-funded domestic players.
- The merger of subsidiaries (KELBUZZ, Luxquisite, SLPKG, and SKG Terra) is intended to simplify the balance sheet and potentially prepare for a one-time settlement (OTS) with lenders.
- Management acknowledges that the "Going Concern" status is dependent on the successful execution of asset monetization plans, specifically the disposal of immovable properties.
- Long-term vision involves transitioning into a technology-driven electrical equipment provider, despite current R&D expenditure being only ₹0.25 Cr.
- Management Tone: The tone is cautiously optimistic and survival-oriented, characterized by a disconnect between the "high-tech pivot" rhetoric and the reality of technical insolvency. The aggressive 30-35% remuneration hikes for KMPs amidst core losses and a "Going Concern" qualification suggest a management focus on promoter interest preservation over minority shareholder value.

3. Financial analysis

3.1 Financial statements

P&L statement (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2024
Sales	544	557
Sales Growth %	-2.43	17.70
Expenses	528	521
Material Cost %	70	70
Raw material cost	379	388
Change in inventory	2	4
Manufacturing Cost %	4	4
Employee Cost %	14	14
Other Cost %	9	5
Operating Profit	16	37
OPM %	3	7
Other Income	18	8
Exceptional items	9.95	0.03
Other income normal	7.74	7.96
Interest	24	26
Depreciation	5	5
Profit before tax	4	14
Tax %	6	0
Net Profit	4	14
Profit from Associates	0	0
Minority share	0	0
Exceptional items AT	10	0
Profit excl Excep	-6	14
Profit for PE	-6	14
Profit for EPS	4	14
Profit Growth %	-143	-42
EPS (□)	0.56	2.12
Dividend Payout %	0	0

Balance sheet (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2024
Equity Capital	66	66
Reserves	48	16
Borrowings	116	126
Long term Borrowings	0	0
Short term Borrowings	116	126
Preference Capital	0	0
Other Borrowings	0	0
Other Liabilities	372	433
Non controlling int	0	0
Trade Payables	178	187
Advance from Customers	24	26
Other liability items	169	220
Total Liabilities	602	641
Fixed Assets	435	438
Land	403.67	403.67
Building	54.13	53.54
Plant Machinery	154.91	153.56
Equipments	23.81	23.48
Furniture n fittings	7.28	7.31
Vehicles	3.93	3.93
Intangible Assets	15.12	15.12
Other fixed assets	5.13	6.73
Gross Block	667.98	667.34
Accumulated Depreciation	232.48	229.32
CWIP	0	1
Investments	2	2
Other Assets	165	201
Inventories	47	49
Trade receivables	59	86
Receivables over 6m	56	59
Receivables under 6m	60	66
Prov for Doubtful	-57	-39
Cash Equivalents	29	29
Loans n Advances	8	12
Other asset items	22	23
Total Assets	602	641

Cash flow statement (□Cr)

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2024
Cash from Operating Activity	36	37
Profit from operations	37.77	33.55
Receivables	15.81	-26.08
Inventory	2.86	3.90
Payables	-20.13	23.80
Other WC items	0	0
Working capital changes	-1.46	1.62
Direct taxes	-0.55	1.40
Cash from Investing Activity	-1	-2
Fixed assets purchased	-3.86	-2.50
Fixed assets sold	0.06	0.04
Investments purchased	0	-0.04
Investments sold	0	0
Interest received	0.97	1.26
Dividends received	0.01	0.01
Other investing items	1.89	-0.89
Cash from Financing Activity	-35	-31
Proceeds from shares	0	0
Proceeds from borrowings	0	0
Repayment of borrowings	-1.63	-32.46
Proceeds from deposits	0	0
Interest paid fin	-24.39	-23.67
Financial liabilities	0	0
Other financing items	-8.75	25.49
Net Cash Flow	0	4
Free Cash Flow	32	34
CFO/OP	234	96

Key ratios

Line item	Mar 2025	Mar 2024
Debtor Days	39	56
Inventory Days	45	46
Days Payable	171	174
Cash Conversion Cycle	-87	-71
Working Capital Days	-195	-196
ROCE %	8	18

3.2 Financial analysis summary

- **Revenue** from operations declined by 2.43% to □544.00 Cr, primarily driven by a 4.08% contraction in the Rotating Machines Group, signaling weakening industrial demand and top-line pressure.
- The **OPM %** collapsed from 7.00% to 3.00% as Other Cost % nearly doubled to 9.00% of Revenue, largely due to a massive □23.22 Cr Allowance for Credit Loss which indicates a severe deterioration in order book quality.
- **Net Profit** of □4.00 Cr is heavily reliant on an Exceptional Item gain of □9.95 Cr; excluding this, the core business generated a Profit excl Excep loss of -□6.00 Cr, highlighting that manufacturing is currently non-viable.
- **Finance Cost** remains a significant drain at □24.00 Cr (4.47% of Revenue), driven by a high-cost debt structure where Short term Borrowings of □116.00 Cr include bank loans at an exorbitant 16.5% interest rate.
- **Working Capital** management shows extreme stress as Trade Payables (□178.00 Cr) are 3x the Net Trade Receivables (□58.54 Cr), leading to a negative Cash Conversion Cycle of -87 days and reliance on stretching vendor payments.
- **CFO** of □36.00 Cr significantly exceeds PAT of □4.00 Cr, but this is misleading as it was primarily generated by a □15.81 Cr liquidation of Trade Receivables (collecting old dues) rather than core operating efficiency.
- **Fixed Assets** are dominated by Land valued at □403.67 Cr (92% of net block), which management uses to justify the "Going Concern" assumption despite eroded Net Worth; however, ROCE % has plummeted from 18.00% to 8.00%.
- **Capital Allocation** is restricted with minimal Capex of □3.86 Cr, while Cash from Financing Activity saw a -□35.00 Cr outflow mainly for Interest paid fin (□24.39 Cr), leaving the company dependent on asset monetization.
- **Total Assets** contracted to □602.00 Cr, while Other Liabilities remain high at □372.00 Cr, including □58.00 Cr of unexplained "Other liability items" and □24.02 Cr in Advance from Customers.
- **Other Assets** include □9.36 Cr in Capital Advances and □4.34 Cr in disputed taxes; the lack of granular breakdown for □58.00 Cr in Other Liabilities serves as a potential "dumping bucket" for operational accruals.
- **Other Expenses** were primarily impacted by the □23.22 Cr Allowance for Credit Loss, which was the single largest factor for margin compression in FY25.
- The dominant financial theme of the year is the survival of a loss-making core operation through the liquidation of legacy assets and stretching of liabilities, while being consumed by a high-interest debt burden.

3.3 Contingent liabilities & commitments

- **GST Demand:** □14.17 Cr regarding disputed transitional input tax credit; an adverse ruling would severely impact liquidity.

- **Preference Share Redemption:** ₹4.06 Cr claim currently with the DRAT; ₹1.03 Cr already deposited per Supreme Court directions.
- **Sales Tax / Resale Tax:** ₹5.27 Cr in penalties and demands.
- **Bank Guarantees:** ₹11.45 Cr outstanding.
- **Industrial Disputes:** Pending with "unascertained" liabilities, creating opaque future risks.
- **Capital Commitments:** Minimal, given the maintenance-only nature of current capex.

3.9 Earnings quality & forensic checks

#	Check	Impact	Status	Notes detail
1	PAT vs CFO trend	Profit ↓ — earnings overstate cash; CFO of □36 Cr driven by □15.8 Cr receivable collection.	—	CFO inflated by liquidation of old receivables rather than core operational efficiency.
2	Receivables & channel-stuffing signal	Revenue ↓ — aggressive past recognition; □49.93 Cr receivables aged >3 years suggest non-existent revenue.	—	Note 12: 43% of gross receivables are critically aged; massive □23.22 Cr credit loss provision.
3	Revenue timing	Revenue ↑↓ — lack of long-term visibility; point-in-time recognition with minimal contract liabilities.	—	Note 2(i): Modified retrospective method used; lack of contract assets suggests simple equipment sales.
4	Revenue from related parties %	Profit ↑↓ — transfer pricing risk; □2.21 Cr sales to Kirsons Trading PTE (related party).	—	Note 35(5): Sales to related entities acting as distributors require verification of arm's length pricing.
5	Inventory vs revenue growth	Profit ↓ — potential obsolescence; finished goods inventory spiked from □2.82 Cr to □8.34 Cr.	—	Note 11: Spike in finished goods suggests dispatch delays or order cancellations at year-end.
6	Inventory valuation method change	Neutral — consistent policy; weighted average cost used but internal control weaknesses noted.	—	Note 35(8): Management admitted to "certain mistakes" in inventory records requiring correction.
7	Exceptional items in operating profit	Profit ↓ — core business loss; □9.95 Cr exceptional gain masks a □5.97 Cr operating loss.	—	P&L: Reported PBT of □4 Cr is entirely dependent on non-recurring exceptional gains.
8	Depreciation rate vs useful life policy	Profit ↑ — low depreciation charge; only □5 Cr on □667 Cr gross block (0.7%).	—	Note 2(q): Land (□403 Cr) is non-depreciable, but low rates on machinery suggest aggressive life estimates.
9	Provision reversals boosting PAT	Profit ↑ — non-cash boost; □23.22 Cr provision for credit loss is a massive P&L hit.	—	Note 32: Other expenses spiked 39% due to sudden recognition of long-overdue bad debts.
10	Tax rate consistency	Profit ↓ — tax leakage; 6% P&L tax vs □0.55 Cr cash tax paid.	—	Note 2(l): DTA restricted to DTL due to lack of virtual certainty of future profits.
11	CWIP age and stalling projects	Neutral — minimal exposure; CWIP reduced from □1 Cr to zero in FY25.	—	Balance Sheet: No capital projects are currently stalled or being capitalized to hide expenses.
12	Deferred tax asset recognition	Profit ↓ — conservative stance; company stopped recognizing DTA due to eroded net worth.	—	Note 2(l): Reflects management's admission of high uncertainty regarding future taxable profitability.
13	RPT quantum and trend	Profit ↓ — value leakage; KMP remuneration rose 30% despite eroded net worth.	—	Note 35(5): Executive Chairman pay increased significantly while the company faces a liquidity crisis.
14	Dividend paid vs FCF adequacy	Neutral — cash conservation; zero dividends paid due to negative reserves and net worth.	—	FCF of □32 Cr is prioritized for high-interest debt (16.5%) and working capital survival.
15	Auditor KAM: Realization from SPVs	Profit ↓ — potential asset overstatement; doubt over realization of □111.54 Cr from SPVs.	—	Realization depends on disposal of immovable properties which has shown zero movement in certain entities.
16	Auditor KAM: Lloyd Dynamowerke	Profit ↓ — total investment loss; German subsidiary in insolvency.	—	Investment has been fully provided for due to regular insolvency proceedings.
17			—	

#	Check	Impact	Status	Notes detail
	Internal Control Weaknesses	Neutral — reporting risk; "certain mistakes" in inventory records and pending reconciliations.		Confirmation of balances from several customers is awaited; accounts are under reconciliation.

4. Management & governance

A. Auditor Report & Key Audit Matters - **Audit Opinion Type:** ***Qualified Opinion*** - ***KAM - Trade Receivables > 2 Years:*** The auditor expressed an inability to ascertain the shortfall in recovery for trade receivables/book debts exceeding two years, totaling **22.19 Cr**. Management maintains these are "good for recovery," but the auditor's qualification suggests a significant risk of overstatement of assets. - ***KAM - Realization from SPVs:*** The auditor flagged a potential shortfall in the realization of **111.54 Cr** from Special Purpose Vehicles (Luxquisite, SLPKG, SKG Terra), noting that realization depends on the disposal of immovable properties which has shown zero movement in certain entities. - ***KAM - Lloyd Dynamowerke Insolvency:*** The investment in the German subsidiary (Lloyd Dynamowerke GmbH) has been fully provided for due to regular insolvency proceedings, signaling a total loss of the **3.01 Cr Euro** investment. - **Auditor's Comments on Going Concern:** The auditor expressed significant doubt regarding the group's ability to continue as a going concern due to eroded net worth and accumulated losses, despite management's reliance on asset monetization plans. - **Internal Controls:** The auditor notes that while an audit trail facility is implemented, the "reconciliation of balances" with third parties is still pending.

B. Related Party Transactions | Party | Relationship | Nature | Amount (□Cr) | Concern | | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Vijay R Kirloskar | Executive Chairman | Remuneration | 5.32 Cr | ***30% Hike vs Core Loss*** | | Anand B Hunnur | Managing Director | Remuneration | 1.98 Cr | ***35% Hike vs Core Loss*** | | Sanjeev Kumar S | CFO | Remuneration | 2.00 Cr | ***33% Hike vs Core Loss*** | | Aggregate SPVs | Subsidiaries | Payable to Holding Co | 56.28 Cr | ***Liquidity Trap*** | | SLPKG Estate | Subsidiary | Interest Accrued (Unsecured) | 5.23 Cr | ***Debt Trap*** | | Kirsons Trading PTE | Associate | Sale of Goods | 2.21 Cr | **Arm's Length Risk** | | Vijayjyothi Investment | KMP Interest | Rent Paid | 1.20 Cr | **Operational** | | KMP Interested Cos | Related Entities | Rescheduled Advances | 1.08 Cr | **Non-core Loans** |

C. Shareholding - **Promoters:** 49.51% (Mar 2025) - **FIIs:** 1.44% - **DIIs:** 1.59% - **Public:** 47.46% - ***Pledged Shares:*** **75.42%** of the promoter's total shareholding remains pledged to secure bank loans and personal guarantees.

D. Board Composition + KMP Compensation - **Total Directors:** 11 | **Independent %:** 54.54% | **Women Directors:** 2. - **Family Relations:** Mr. Vijay R. Kirloskar, Mrs. Meena Kirloskar (Spouse), and Ms. Rukmini Kirloskar (Family Member) are on the Board. - **KMP Compensation Analysis:** - Total KMP compensation (□9.64 Cr) consumes **60.25% of Operating Profit**. - **Vijay R. Kirloskar (Chairman):** □5.32 Cr (30.39% YoY growth). - **Anand B. Hunnur (MD):** □1.98 Cr (35.62% YoY growth). - **Sanjeev Kumar S (CFO):** □2.00 Cr (33.33% YoY growth). - **Correlation:** Aggregate KMP pay rose ~31% while Operating Profit crashed by 56.75%. The Chairman’s remuneration-to-median-employee ratio is **102:1**.

F. Capital Allocation & Capex | Action | FY current (□Cr) | FY prior (□Cr) | % of CFO | Signal | | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Interest Payments | 24.00 Cr | 26.00 Cr | 66.67% | — | | Repayment of Debt | 10.00 Cr | 32.46 Cr | 27.78% | **Positive** | | Capex | 3.86 Cr | 2.50 Cr | 10.72% | — | | Asset Sales | 0.06 Cr | 0.04 Cr | 0.17% | — |

Capex analytical notes: - **CFO Coverage of Capex:** CFO/Capex ratio is 9.32. While self-funded, the CFO is "low quality" as it stems from collecting old receivables. - **Nature of Capex:** Primarily maintenance-focused (**□3.86 Cr**); no significant growth capex for the EV segment. - **Deployment Efficiency: Capex is less than annual depreciation (□5.00 Cr)**, indicating the company is not replacing its wearing asset base. - **Key Takeaways:** Borrowing at **16.5%** while ROCE is only 8.00% is fundamentally value-destructive.

H. Risks - ***Going Concern (High):*** Eroded net worth and accumulated losses; ability to continue depends on uncertain asset monetization. - ***Receivables Quality (High):*** ₹**49.93 Cr** of receivables are > 3 years old; risk of massive future write-offs. - ***Interest Rate (High):*** Secured loans at **16.5%** interest; finance costs consume 150% of core PBT. - ***Litigation (High):*** Disputed GST Transitional Credit of ₹**14.17 Cr** poses an immediate liquidity drain risk. - ***Pledge Risk (High):*** **75.42%** of promoter shares pledged; risk of forced liquidation or change in control. - ***Asset Stagnation (Medium):*** Zero movement in SPV land assets, threatening the primary debt-repayment strategy.

5. Scorecard & verdict

Part a: scorecard

Dimension	Rating (1–5)	Delta	Key evidence	One-line rationale
Business quality	2	→	Sales -2.43%, OPM 3%	Legacy business in decline with no real R&D to support the "EV pivot" narrative.
Financial health	1	↓	D/E 1.02x, Interest 16.5%	Technically insolvent with eroded net worth and interest costs exceeding core profits.
Earnings quality	1	↓	Exceptional gain ₹9.95 Cr	Core business is loss-making; PAT is entirely driven by non-recurring one-offs.
Management & governance	1	↓	30% pay hike, 75% pledge	Severe misalignment: high KMP pay and pledging despite technical insolvency.
Capital allocation & earnings visibility	1	↓	ROCE 8% < Cost of Debt	Value-destructive allocation with no growth capex and lumpy, asset-sale dependent visibility.

Business positives (for this company this year) * **Debt Reduction:** Repayment of ₹10.00 Cr of debt during the year, reducing total borrowings to ₹116.00 Cr. * **Export Growth:** Export revenue grew by 103% to ₹27.23 Cr, showing some traction in international markets. * **Cash Collection:** CFO of ₹36.00 Cr was generated, primarily through the liquidation of ₹15.81 Cr in old trade receivables.

Business negatives / concerns (for this company this year) * **Core Operating Loss:** Excluding exceptional items, the core business generated a loss of -₹6.00 Cr. * **Asset Quality:** 43% of gross trade receivables (₹49.93 Cr) are aged over 3 years, indicating poor past revenue quality. * **High-Cost Debt:** Secured loans are being serviced at an exorbitant interest rate of 16.5%. * **Governance Red Flag:** KMP remuneration increased by 30-35% despite the company's "Going Concern" qualification. * **Promoter Pledge:** 75.42% of promoter shares are pledged, creating a high risk of forced liquidation. * **Auditor Qualification:** Qualified opinion regarding the recoverability of ₹22.19 Cr in receivables and ₹111.54 Cr from SPVs.

Overall scorecard summary Kirloskar Electric is in a state of technical insolvency, with its survival entirely dependent on the slow-motion liquidation of legacy land assets rather than operational manufacturing. Financial health is critical, with high-cost debt (16.5%) consuming all operating cash flow, while earnings quality is poor due to heavy reliance on exceptional items and aggressive receivable provisioning. Governance is a major concern, as evidenced by significant KMP pay hikes and high promoter pledging amidst a "Going Concern" qualification, signaling a deteriorating trajectory.

Part b: governance check matrix

#	Check	Status
1	Audit opinion clean?	—
2	Promoter pledge = 0?	—
3	KMP pay < 5% of PAT?	—
4	RPT quantum < 5% of revenue?	—
5	Board > 50% independent?	—
6	At least 1 woman director?	—
7	No statutory dues outstanding?	—
8	No fraud reported?	—
9	Audit trail enabled?	—
10	Frequent Auditor change	—

Final line: "Total: 6/10 — Governance Rating: 1"

Part c: investor verdict

Thesis: KECL is a distressed legacy engineering firm currently operating as a liquidation play where executive compensation and interest costs are competing for the proceeds of asset sales.

Overall stance: Avoid

Rationale: The core manufacturing business is loss-making, and governance signals (high pay, high pledge) are strongly misaligned with minority shareholders. Re-evaluate when: Interest Coverage Ratio > 3.0x and Promoter Pledge < 20%. BULL CASE: Successful one-time monetization of land assets exceeding □500 Cr leading to total debt elimination. BEAR CASE: NCLT rejection of the subsidiary merger or a forced liquidation of pledged promoter shares leading to a change in control. Key monitorable: Debtor Days: 39 → Watch for any spike above 60 days indicating further collection failure.

7. Year-over-year analysis

7.1 Changes

Metric / theme	Summary a status	Summary b status	Forensic takeaway
Capital Allocation	Aggressive debt reduction (□32.46 Cr) prioritized over capex.	Debt repayment slowed to □10 Cr while ROCE (8%) fell significantly below the cost of debt (16.5%).	The company is trapped in a value-destructive cycle where borrowing costs are double the returns on capital.
Margin Trajectory	OPM at 7%; PAT (□14 Cr) supported by other income.	OPM collapsed to 3%; core manufacturing is now loss-making (-□6 Cr) without exceptional gains.	Operational viability has evaporated, leaving the bottom line entirely dependent on non-recurring accounting events.
Working Capital Anomalies	CFO (□37 Cr) was artificially inflated by stretching trade payables by □23.8 Cr.	CFO (□36 Cr) was driven by the liquidation of □15.8 Cr in old receivables rather than operational profit.	The source of liquidity has shifted from vendor credit to the exhaustion of legacy assets, signaling a terminal stage of cash generation.
Management Tone	Survival-oriented with a focus on industrial relations and debt servicing.	Aggressive pursuit of 30-35% KMP pay hikes despite core losses and "Going Concern" warnings.	Management tone has shifted toward aggressive self-compensation, indicating a severe misalignment with the company's distressed financial state.

7.2 Persistent patterns

- **Auditor "Going Concern" Qualification:** Auditors in both periods express significant doubt about the group's ability to continue due to eroded net worth.
- **Severe Technical Insolvency:** The company remains structurally bankrupt with **negative net worth** and a reliance on asset stripping to fund operations.
- **High Promoter Pledging:** Promoter share pledging is frozen at **75.42%**, leaving the company with zero financial flexibility and high liquidation risk.
- **Aged Receivable Risk:** A massive portion of the balance sheet (□22.19 Cr qualified) remains tied up in **receivables older than 2-3 years** that management refuses to write off.
- **Predatory Interest Burden:** Finance costs consistently consume the majority of operating profit, with current interest rates reaching an **exorbitant 16.5%**.
- **Stagnant Non-Core Assets:** "Assets Held for Sale" and land-heavy SPVs show **zero movement or realization**, failing as a primary debt-repayment strategy.
- **Negligible R&D Reinvestment:** Despite the "EV pivot" narrative, R&D spending remains a **token 0.05% of turnover**, rendering the growth thesis unsupported.
- **Reliance on Personal Guarantees:** The company's banking facilities remain entirely dependent on the **Executive Chairman's personal guarantees** rather than corporate creditworthiness.