Aarti Industries Ltd — Aug 2024 Quarterly Analysis

1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

Result: Inline (Sequential Improvement) **One-line:** While volumes have staged a robust recovery (+30% YoY), the structural "Specialty" thesis is being tested by a shift toward more volatile energy-linked intermediates and persistent Chinese pricing aggression, leading to a de facto suspension of the ₹1,700 Cr FY25 EBITDA guidance.

Dimension	This Quarter	Signal / Evidence	Sentiment
Beat/Miss vs Guidance / Prior Quarter	Inline / Sequential Beat	EBITDA of □311 Cr up 10% QoQ and 55% YoY; sequential growth delivered as promised.	□
Earnings Quality	Moderate	Growth driven by volume-led operating leverage; however, rising exposure to volatile gasoline/naphtha cracks in "energy applications" lowers predictability.	□
Guidance Confidence	Weak	Management pivoted from the firm □1,700 Cr FY25 EBITDA target to "watching trends for 2-3 months" before recommitting.	□
Management Credibility	Neutral	Transparent about the Suyog Kotecha (new CEO) transition and Chinese dumping, but the second guidance walk-back in two quarters is an overhang.	□
Business Quality Signal	Transitioning	Moving from a pure Benzene/Toluene house to a "Collaborative Partner" (UPL JV) and energy intermediate supplier.	□
Key Q&A Exchange	Q#1 - Guidance Suspension	Management admitted difficulty in committing to FY25 EBITDA targets due to energy application volatility and Chinese dumping.	□
The Street's Primary Anxiety	Margin Sustainability	Will the recovery in volumes be offset by permanent margin compression from China? Mgmt expects "long-haul" for margin recovery.	□
Capital Cycle Stage	Peak Investment	Q1 Capex at □270 Cr; FY25 remains peak year (□1,500-1,800 Cr) with leverage expected to rise.	□
Margin / Return Ratio Trajectory	Stabilizing	EBITDA margins improved to 15.5% from 12.8% YoY (operating leverage) but still below historical 18-20% highs.	□
Pricing Power	Weak	Intense pressure from China "across the board," specifically in Agrochemicals; pass-throughs working on RMs but "deltas" are thin.	□
FCF Conversion & Quality	Weak	Negative FCF due to peak capex intensity; Net Debt rising to ~□3,300 Cr.	□
Competitive Moat Signals	Stable	Integration in Benzene remains world-class; JV with UPL validates "Partner of Choice" status for critical inputs.	□
Balance Sheet Strength	Adequate	Net Debt/EBITDA is high but within limits (~2.5x); Net Debt expected to peak at □3,500-3,800 Cr this year.	□
Working Capital Efficiency	Improving	Sequential volume growth (6% QoQ) suggests destocking is ending, though inventory levels remain a function of RM price volatility.	□
Mgmt Guidance Track Record	Unreliable (Short-term)	Previously touted FY25 targets are now in a "wait and watch" mode, reducing near-term valuation support.	□
Key Vulnerability / Red Flag	Energy Sector Exposure	"Energy" is now a large end-use (41% of revenue); linked to volatile refinery cracks which management is still learning to navigate.	□
Management Tone	Cautious & Learning	New CEO focused on execution but admitting to a steep learning curve in the newly scaled energy intermediate segment.	□

Sentiment: □Positive | □Negative | □Neutral

Key Takeaways (Positives & Negatives): * **Positives:** Volume growth is back, with NCB production up 13% YoY and total volumes up 30% YoY, signaling that the worst of global destocking is likely over. The landmark 50:50 JV with UPL (Augene Chemical) secures a dedicated off-take partner and targets □400-500 Cr in revenue

with limited capital risk (□150 Cr equity). Strategic projects (Nitrotoluene, Ethylation) are reaching the finish line, set for Q2 FY25 commissioning. * **Negatives:** The previously firm FY25 EBITDA guidance of □1,450–1,700 Cr has been effectively soft-withdrawn, with management citing external volatility. The "Energy" segment, while driving 41% of revenue, introduces a new risk layer: its margins are tied to naphtha/gasoline cracks rather than traditional specialty chemical pass-throughs. Chinese dumping remains "intense" and is no longer viewed as transitionary but as a "long-haul" headwind. * **The Street's Focus:** Analysts are fixated on the margin profile of the Aniline-based energy applications. Management's admission that they are "still learning" this segment's dynamics and seasonality creates a valuation ceiling until at least 2 quarters of stable performance are delivered. * **Forward Watchpoint:** Monitoring the ramp-up of the Ethylation and Nitrotoluene capacities in H2 FY25; any delay or margin compression here would signal that the □2,000 Cr+ EBITDA thesis for FY26 is also at risk.

---

2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

2A. KEY METRICS

DATA SOURCE: PPT figures primary. Concall used for volumes and debt.

Metric	Current Qtr	YoY Change	QoQ Change	Trend	Mgmt Commentary
Volume (NCB - MT)	19,503	↑ 12.8%	↑ 10.5%	↑	Driven by structural demand and better acid availability.
Volume (NT - MT)	7,637	↓ 18.1%	↑ 14.4%	↑	Sequential recovery noted; expansion commissioning in Q2.
Volume (Hydro - TPM)	3,428	↑ 19.5%	↑ 1.1%	↑	Broad-based recovery in end-user industries.
Volume (PDA - TPM)	206	↑ 52.6%	Not stated	↑	Significant recovery from last year's collapse (135 TPM).
Revenue	□2,012 Cr	↑ 28.1%	↑ 2.9%	↑	Volume-led growth; offset slightly by lower realizations.
Gross Margin (%)	38.3%	↑ 330 bps	↓ 110 bps	□	Sequential dip due to RM (Benzene/Aniline) volatility.
EBITDA	□311 Cr	↑ 54.7%	↑ 9.9%	↑	Operating leverage from 30%+ YoY volume growth.
EBITDA Margin %	15.5%	↑ 270 bps	↑ 100 bps	↑	Improved YoY but mgmt cautious on sustaining this.
PAT	□137 Cr	↑ 95.7%	↑ 3.8%	→	Impacted by higher interest/depreciation (capex cycle).
ROCE (%)	~8-9% (est)	↓	↓	↓	Impacted by high CWIP and compressed margins vs hist.
Cash Flow (OCF)	Not in doc	-	-	-	Not reported in quarterly PPT.
Net Debt / (Cash)	□3,300 Cr	↑	↑	↓	Rising due to □270 Cr Q1 capex spend.
Interest Coverage	2.54x	↓	→	↓	Pressure from high debt (□3,300 Cr) and interest rates.
Exports (%)	55.5%	↑ 1,260 bps	↑ 360 bps	↑	Driven by large-scale energy application supplies.

2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

Segment	Revenue (□ Cr)	YoY Growth	Margin (EBITDA)	Trend	vs Co. Avg	Key Development
Energy	41% of Sales	High	Volatile	↑	High	Linked to refinery optimization; high volume/low predictability.
Agrochemical	20% of Sales	Stable	Compressed	□	Low	"Green shoots" in demand but heavy Chinese competition.
Dyes & Pigments	14% of Sales	Recovering	Moderate	↑	Average	Sequential improvement; Bangladesh issues not a risk yet.
Pharma	8% of Sales	Stable	High	→	High	Focus on intermediates; stable Tier-1 demand.
Polymers	8% of Sales	Growing	High	↑	Above Avg	SABIC contract at full capacity; structural demand.

3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

Dimension	Category	Management Target / Claim	Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility	Historical Delivery	Risk Flag
Guidance	EBITDA (FY25)	□1,450 - 1,700 Cr (Softened)	Needs □463 Cr/avg for next 3 quarters. Implies 50% jump from Q1 run-rate.	Missed prior FY24 targets.	High
Guidance	Volume Growth	20% - 30% YoY	Achieved 30% in Q1; looks highly feasible if NT/Ethylation ramp up.	Delivered in Q1.	Low
Guidance	Capex Plan	□1,500 - 1,800 Cr	□443-510 Cr/qtr for rest of year (Q1 was □270 Cr).	On track for Phase 2 commissions.	Medium
Strategy	UPL JV	□400-500 Cr Revenue	Commercial supplies from Q1 FY27; long lead time.	New partnership.	Low
Strategy	Chloro-Toluene	42,000 TPA Capacity	Commencing phased manner from end of FY25.	Site (Zone 4) works ongoing.	Medium
Strategy	New CEO	Suyog Kotecha (ex-RIL/McKinsey)	N/A - Integration of new leadership team.	Joined June 17, 2024.	Low
Macro	Chinese Dumping	"Long-haul" challenge	Pricing likely to remain suppressed for 3-4 quarters.	Underestimated duration in FY24.	High
Balance	Peak Debt	□3,500 - 3,800 Cr	Implies ~0.6-0.7x D/E; manageable if EBITDA scales.	Rising as expected.	Medium

4. ANALYST Q&A

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
1	5.0	Vivek Rajamani, MS	FY25 Guidance	Management Outlook	"Do you feel that you can deliver the higher end of your EBITDA guidance of □ 17 billion that you've given for fiscal '25?"	Management declined to commit to the target, stating they need to watch margin trends for 2-3 months before confirming guidance. This signals a de facto guidance suspension, increasing near-term earnings risk.	None	2.0	Walked back
2	4.0	Vivek Rajamani, MS	Customer Profile	Business Overview	"I am just wondering... if you've been able to go back to your more normal customer profile or do you think you are still having to rely on such Tier-2, Tier-3 customers?"	Management noted they still rely on Tier-2/3 customers (including China) for certain chains to optimize inventory, though Tier-1 share is rising. This implies pricing power has not yet returned to historical norms.	None	3.5	Directional
3	4.5	Abhijit Akella, Kotak	Energy Volatility	Financials	"Energy as an application... bringing additional volatility... linkages to the external factor... gasoline naphtha cracks?"	Management confirmed the energy segment is linked to refinery optimization incentives which vary with gasoline/ naphtha spreads. This shifts the business profile from "pure specialty" to	None	4.0	Clear & quantified

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
						"refining intermediate," requiring different valuation multiples.			
4	3.5	Nitesh Dhoot, Dolat	Other Expenses	Financials	"What explains the sequential decline in other expenses to around □281 crore from □ 319 crore despite the increase in volumes?"	CFO cited the absence of one-time year-end employee costs and a shift in export mix toward the Middle East where freight costs are lower. This suggests operating margins benefited from a favorable geographic mix shift.	None	5.0	Verifiable
5	4.5	Archit Joshi, B&K	Margin Moat	Business Overview	"Has that construct changed at all so that we are unable to maintain that absolute EBITDA on the products we are selling?"	Management admitted that while Benzene pass-throughs hold, the Aniline chain faces market exposure where they are currently "learning" and "discovering" pricing dynamics. This confirms a temporary break in the traditional cost-plus model for new high-volume products.	None	3.0	Vague but honest
6	4.0	Archit Joshi, B&K	Project Ramp-up	Capex and Allocation	"Within all this, do we continue to	Management admitted that while volume	None	3.5	Directional

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
					expect the margins that we had envisaged earlier... for Ethylation and Nitrotoluene?"	targets (40-50% utilization) are secure, margins are likely "skewed towards a bit of a downside" compared to original plans. This implies the ROI on recent capex will be lower than historically enjoyed.			
7	3.0	Niteen Dharmawat	UPL JV	Management Outlook	"Is there any overlap with our existing business with the joint venture revenue?"	Management clarified no overlap; AIL will supply Aniline to the JV, which then makes downstream derivatives, effectively creating an internal captive customer. This improves internal asset utilization for AIL's base capacity.	None	5.0	Specific
8	4.0	Surya Patra, PhillipCap	Chinese Competition	Business Overview	"Now what is the difference... why are we unable to enjoy the margins that we enjoyed 2-3 years back?"	Management explained that Chinese overcapacity is now "across the board," making it harder to find niche products that aren't being commoditized. This suggests the "Specialty" moat is	None	3.5	Strategic clarity

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
						narrowing, forcing AIL to rely more on scale and integration.			
9	4.0	Abhijit Akella, Kotak	Energy Long-term	Management Outlook	"How do you see the long-term demand outlook for the fuel additives business in the context of electrification (EV)?"	Management argued that fuel additives are "cleaner" and "sustainable," replacing metal-based additives, and demand will stay robust as ICE capacity remains massive globally. This defends the long-term utility of the current high-volume product mix.	None	4.0	Directional

PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT * **The "Energy" Transition Anxiety:** Analysts repeatedly questioned the shift toward the Energy sector (41% of sales). The concern is that AIL is trading high-margin, predictable specialty volumes for high-volume, volatile refinery intermediates. Management's posture was one of "learning-in-progress," which did not fully alleviate fears about the dilution of AIL's specialty chemical pedigree. * **Guidance Friction:** The most friction occurred around the FY25 EBITDA target. By refusing to confirm the □1,700 Cr figure (just one quarter after reaffirming it), management has signaled that the operating environment is changing faster than their forecasting models. This has led to a skeptical analyst sentiment, with a focus on "seeing is believing" for the H2 ramp-up. * **Analyst Sentiment Verdict:** Analysts are cautiously optimistic about volume recovery but highly skeptical about margin expansion. The credibility of the near-term guidance has deteriorated, as management essentially reset expectations to a "wait and watch" mode. The unresolved issue of "Chinese price aggression" remains the biggest threat to the thesis.

GUIDANCE GAPS REVEALED IN Q&A | Topic | What Mgmt Claimed (Opening / Prior Q) | What Q&A Revealed | Gap / Walk-back | Risk to Thesis | | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | FY25 EBITDA | □1,450 - 1,700 Cr target | "Difficult to commit; need 2-3 months to watch margins" | ~□200 Cr+ risk to the upper end of guidance. | Delayed re-rating; lower PEG. | | Margin Profile | Specialty margins to be maintained | New high-volume products have "downside skew" to planned margins | ROIC on new capex will be lower than historical ~15-20%. | Capital efficiency drag. | | Energy Volatility | Diversified growth driver | Linked to gasoline/naphtha refinery cracks (seasonal/volatile) | Higher earnings volatility than typical chemical peers. | Multiples compression. |

5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

What Changed	Prior Quarter	This Quarter	Direction
CEO Leadership	Founder-led (Gogri family)	Suyog Kotecha (Professional CEO) joined	↑ (Professionalization)
Volume Trajectory	Negative/Declining	+30% YoY; +6% QoQ	↑ (Strong Recovery)
FY25 EBITDA Guide	Firmly reaffirmed	Soft-withdrawn / Under review	↓ (Uncertainty)
Energy Segment	Scaling up	Now 41% of Revenue; primary driver	↑ (Weightage)
Strategic Alliances	Standard supply contracts	Landmark 50:50 JV with UPL announced	↑ (Partnership Moat)
Debt Level	~□2,650 Cr	□3,300 Cr	↓ (Leverage Rising)
Chinese Outlook	"Transitionary" pressure	"Long-haul" margin challenge	↓ (Risk Persistence)
Tone	Survivalist/Cautious	Execution-focused but "Learning"	□(Transitionary)

Investor Notes: * **CFO-to-PAT Divergence:** PAT of □137 Cr is robust, but with Q1 capex at □270 Cr and rising debt, the company is operating with negative free cash flow. The thesis relies entirely on the H2 FY25 commissioning of NT/Ethylation to flip the FCF switch. * **Working Capital:** Management noted inventory optimization by selling to Tier-2/3 customers. This "volume at any cost" strategy preserves utilization but is the primary culprit for gross margin compression.

STOP HERE.