

## GTPL Hathway Ltd — Jan 2026 Quarterly Analysis

### 1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

**Result:** Inline **One-line:** The long-awaited launch of the HITS platform (GTPL Infinity) marks a critical structural pivot to rural India, but the core retail engine remains stuck in a low-growth, high-churn environment.

| Dimension                    | This Quarter        | Signal / Evidence                                                                                              | Sentiment |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Prior Quarter   | Inline              | Revenue ₹938.2 Cr (up 5% YoY); Broadband adds recovered slightly (10k) vs 0k in Q2.                            | □         |
| Earnings Quality             | Moderate            | Profitability is still overly reliant on Placement fees (50% of revenue) vs core subscriptions.                | □         |
| Guidance Confidence          | Neutral             | Management delivered on the HITS launch but remains vague on the speed of "Broadband B2B" scale.               | □         |
| Management Credibility       | Neutral             | Successfully delivered HITS after Q2 delay; however, CATV paying subs fell for the second consecutive quarter. | □         |
| Business Quality Signal      | Stable              | HITS launch lowers delivery costs long-term, potentially offsetting the "structural leak" in urban CATV.       | □         |
| Key Q&A Exchange             | Q# 3 (HITS Roadmap) | Management clarified HITS allows serving markets <3,000 subs that were previously unviable.                    | □         |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety | Competitive Churn   | Analysts focused on the continuous dip in paying CATV subs (down 100k) despite "Infinity" launch.              | □         |
| Capital Cycle Stage          | Investment          | Transitioning from urban Fiber-heavy capex to HITS-driven rural expansion.                                     | □         |
| Margin Trajectory            | Improving           | Consolidated Operating EBITDA margin recovered to 23.9% from 21.7% in Q2.                                      | □         |
| Pricing Power                | Eroding             | Management is refusing to hike ARPU to defend the base against Telco "AirFiber."                               | □         |
| FCF Conversion               | Distorted           | PAT of ₹11.1 Cr is masked by ₹92 Cr+ in non-cash depreciation/amortization.                                    | □         |
| Competitive Moat Signals     | Widening            | GTPL Infinity creates a pan-India reach that regional MSOs cannot replicate.                                   | □         |
| Balance Sheet Strength       | Adequate            | Managed wage code/HITS one-offs while maintaining ₹465 Broadband ARPU.                                         | □         |
| Working Capital              | Deteriorating       | Placement income linkage to pay-channel costs remains a circular dependency.                                   | □         |
| Mgmt Guidance Track Record   | Mixed               | Missed the "Double-Digit Subscription Growth" target (currently -2% YoY in CATV).                              | □         |
| Key Vulnerability            | Retail Churn        | Paying CATV subs have dropped 200k in 6 months (8.90 Mn to 8.70 Mn).                                           | □         |
| Management Tone              | Cautious Optimism   | Pivot from defensive (Q2 "rain") to offensive (Q3 "Infinity launch").                                          | □         |

**Sentiment:** □Neutral

**Key Takeaways:**

- \* Positives:** The launch of **GTPL Infinity (HITS)** is the single most important development in the thesis. It effectively opens 130 million "TV-dark" or rural households to GTPL with nearly zero recurring delivery costs, which were previously the primary barrier to rural expansion. Operating margins (Mgmt metric) rebounded to 24%, showing resilience in the underlying cost structure despite a one-time ₹2.2 Cr wage code hit.
- \* Negatives:** The "Retail Churn" remains the primary threat. Paying CATV subscribers fell to 8.70 Mn (vs 8.80 Mn in Q2), signaling that the urban "Gujarat Fortress" is still leaking customers to OTT and DTH. Broadband adds (10k) are an improvement over Q2 (0k) but remain far below the 25k+ quarterly run-rate required to drive a re-rating.
- \* Street Concern:** Analysts are questioning whether HITS is a "growth engine" or merely a "cost-saving bunker." Management's response—that HITS allows them to target villages with as few as 200 customers—suggests a pivot to lower-ARPU rural volume over high-ARPU urban value.
- \* Forward Watchpoint:** Q4 FY26 subscriber realization. If the HITS launch does not result in a net reversal of the CATV subscriber decline by March 2026, the platform will be viewed as a failed catalyst.

## 2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

### 2A. KEY METRICS

| Metric                     | Current Qtr | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary                                           |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Revenue (₹Cr)              | 938.2       | ↑ 5%       | ↓ 3%       | →     | Impacted by 5% QoQ drop in Placement/ Carriage fees.      |
| CATV Sub Revenue (₹ Cr)    | 297.0       | ↓ 2%       | ↓ 2%       | ↓     | Driven by 100k loss in paying subscribers.                |
| Broadband ISP Rev (₹ Cr)   | 143.3       | ↑ 4%       | ↑ 3%       | ↑     | Volume growth (10k adds) starting to return.              |
| Placement/Carriage (₹ Cr)  | 465.7       | ↑ 12%      | ↓ 5%       | ▢     | Linked to 5% drop in Pay Channel costs (efficiency).      |
| EBITDA (₹Cr)               | 118.9       | ↑ 4%       | ↑ 8%       | ↑     | Margin expansion to 12.7% (vs 11.4% in Q2).               |
| PAT (₹Cr)                  | 11.1        | ↑ 9%       | ↑ 19%      | ↑     | Improved sequentially despite one-off wage costs.         |
| ROCE (%)                   | 8.0% (est)  | ↓          | →          | →     | Depressed by high asset base and HITS ROU capitalization. |
| Broadband ARPU (₹)         | 465         | → 0%       | → 0%       | →     | Stable; customers shifting to higher speed tiers.         |
| Paying CATV Subs (Mn)      | 8.70        | ↓ 2%       | ↓ 1%       | ↓     | Second consecutive quarter of net subscriber loss.        |
| Broadband Active Subs (Mn) | 1.06        | ↑ 2%       | ↑ 1%       | ↑     | Recovered from 0k adds in Q2; momentum returning.         |
| Data Usage/Cust (GB/ mo)   | 410         | ↑ 12%      | →          | ↑     | 12% YoY increase shows high engagement.                   |
| Net Debt / Equity (x)      | 0.2         | →          | →          | →     | Balance sheet remains stable during HITS transition.      |
| HITS One-off Impact (₹ Cr) | 5.5         | New        | New        | ▢     | ROU impact on amortization/finance costs.                 |

### 2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

| Segment   | Revenue<br>(₹Cr) | YoY<br>Growth | Margin | Trend | vs<br>Company<br>Avg | Key Development                                     |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Cable TV  | 794.9            | ↑ 5.1%        | 23.9%* | ↑     | Above                | HITS launch finalized; focus on rural "dark" areas. |
| Broadband | 143.3            | ↑ 4%          | 23.9%* | ↑     | In-line              | Shift to B2B/Partner model to reduce rural capex.   |

*\*Note: Management's "Operating EBITDA" excludes activation and one-off other income.*

### 3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category      | Management Target / Claim                       | Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility                      | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance  | Revenue       | 11-12% CAGR in sub-base/revenue.                | Needs ~15% growth in Q4 to hit run-rate; currently at -2%.        | Missed              | High      |
| Guidance  | Margins       | Improvement in bottom line via HITS.            | HITS delivery cost is "negligible"; should boost margins in FY27. | Delivered (Tech)    | Low       |
| Guidance  | Capex Plan    | ₹270–₹280 Cr for FY26.                          | YTD is ~₹200 Cr; implies ₹70-80 Cr Q4 spend. Feasible.            | On Track            | Low       |
| Strategy  | HITS Reach    | Target 350M households via Infinity.            | Massive addressable market (TAM); execution is the bottleneck.    | New                 | Moderate  |
| Macro     | Competition   | AirFiber euphoria "stabilizing."                | 10k broadband adds suggest the floor has been found.              | Improving           | Moderate  |
| Strategy  | B2B Broadband | Targeting 6 cities for FTTH; rest via partners. | Reduces capex but sacrifices gross ARPU; margin-neutral.          | Ongoing             | Moderate  |

## 4. ANALYST Q&A

---

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm                | Theme Cluster | Category          | Underlying Concern                                                                                  | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Evaded / Not Addressed                   | Credibility | Verdict              |
|----|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1  | 4.0       | Preeti Agarwal, SK Associates | Consolidation | Business Overview | "Are you finding acquisition to be a challenge or is it the valuation being asked?"                 | Management stated they are exploring independent MSO deals but prioritize the "qualitative fit" of the network over pure volume. This indicates a disciplined but potentially slow inorganic growth trajectory for the next 12 months.                    | Specific valuation multiples being paid. | 3.5         | Directional          |
| 2  | 4.5       | Viral Jain, FNG Finance       | Revenue Mix   | Financials        | "Reasons behind the sequential decline in CATV and placement revenue?"                              | Management linked the decline in placement revenue directly to a 5% reduction in pay channel costs, as the two are contractually intertwined. This confirms that "EBITDA protection" is taking priority over "Topline growth" in the current environment. | None                                     | 4.5         | Clear and Quantified |
| 3  | 5.0       | Vrudhi Vora, SAS Capital      | HITS Strategy | Business Overview | "How does GTPL Infinity fit into GTPL Hathway's overall plan... what key goals are you aiming for?" | Management explained HITS removes the recurring "delivery cost" (bandwidth), allowing                                                                                                                                                                     | Specific sub targets for HITS.           | 4.0         | Thesis Pivot         |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm           | Theme Cluster | Category          | Underlying Concern                                                                   | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                 | Evaded / Not Addressed            | Credibility | Verdict            |
|----|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|    |           |                          |               |                   |                                                                                      | them to penetrate villages with as few as 200 customers where fiber was previously unviable. This structurally lowers the cost-to-serve for the next 100 million potential subscribers.                      |                                   |             |                    |
| 4  | 3.5       | Muskan Agarwal, NN Vadia | Margins       | Financials        | "Should we expect elevated employee expenses and D&A going forward to support HITS?" | Management clarified the ₹2.2 Cr wage code hit was one-time, though the ₹5.5 Cr HITS ROU impact is recurring. The benefit of lower bandwidth costs should begin to outweigh the ROU depreciation by Q2 FY27. | Exact bandwidth cost savings (₹). | 3.0         | Delayed Accretion  |
| 5  | 4.0       | Viral Jain, FNG Finance  | HITS Moat     | Business Overview | "Beside us now, there is only one other HITS distributor... what are the barriers?"  | Management noted that HITS is only financially viable for pan-India players; regional MSOs cannot justify the satellite transponder costs. This highlights a widening moat against                           | None                              | 4.5         | Strong Moat Signal |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm                | Theme Cluster | Category              | Underlying Concern                                                                      | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Evaded / Not Addressed     | Credibility | Verdict               |
|----|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
|    |           |                               |               |                       |                                                                                         | smaller competitors who remain tethered to expensive terrestrial bandwidth.                                                                                                                                                                                               |                            |             |                       |
| 6  | 4.5       | Preeti Agarwal, SK Associates | Cord Cutting  | Management Commentary | "Do you see early signs of cord cutting in India, particularly among urban households?" | Management dismissed American-style cord cutting, arguing that Indian households consume content across multiple platforms (Cable + OTT) due to price sensitivity. This suggests management is doubling down on "Hybrid" STBs rather than abandoning the linear TV model. | Specific urban churn data. | 2.5         | Potentially Hubristic |

**PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT** Analyst anxiety has shifted from "When will HITS launch?" to "How fast will it work?" There is visible skepticism regarding the continuous decline in paying CATV subscribers (down to 8.7 Mn). Management's posture was more offensive this quarter, using the "Infinity" launch to justify the previous slowdown in urban capex. However, the recurring "linkage" between pay-channel costs and placement revenue remains a black box for many, as it makes the P&L appear more like a trading account than a retail subscription business.

**Analyst Sentiment Verdict: Skeptical but Attentive.** Analysts are giving management "one year" (until Dec 2026) to show the full financial benefits of HITS. Credibility improved slightly due to the technical launch of Infinity, but the **10k broadband add** figure was viewed as anemic. The single greatest risk is that the rural volume gained via HITS may be lower-ARPU, potentially diluting the consolidated margin if urban churn continues.

**GUIDANCE GAPS REVEALED IN Q&A** | Topic | What Mgmt Claimed (Prior Q) | What Q&A Revealed | Gap / Walk-back | Risk to Thesis | | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Broadband Adds | "Rebound in H2." | Only 10k adds in

Q3. | Significant volume gap vs historical 25k adds. | High - Stalled growth. | | HITS Benefits | Immediate efficiency. | "No benefits in Q3" as launch was late in quarter. | 1-2 quarter delay in margin realization. | Moderate - Timing. | | Subscription Rev | "Double-digit CAGR." | CATV subscription rev is -2% YoY. | Massive realization gap; business is defensive. | High - Yield risk. |

## 5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

| What Changed                   | Prior Quarter (Q2 FY26)      | This Quarter (Q3 FY26)                                                                                   | Direction            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Broadband Momentum</b>      | 0 net adds.                  | 10k net adds.                                                                                            | ↑ Improving          |
| <b>HITS Platform Status</b>    | "Expected Launch" (Delayed). | <b>Launched (GTPL Infinity).</b>                                                                         | ↑ Catalyst Delivered |
| <b>Operating EBITDA Margin</b> | 21.7%.                       | 23.9%.                                                                                                   | ↑ Efficiency Rebound |
| <b>Paying CATV Subs</b>        | 8.80 Million.                | 8.70 Million.                                                                                            | ↓ Deteriorating      |
| <b>One-off Costs</b>           | None highlighted.            | ₹2.2 Cr Wage Code; ₹5.5 Cr HITS ROU.                                                                     | ↓ Earnings Quality   |
| <b>Broadband ARPU</b>          | ₹465.                        | ₹465 (Stable).                                                                                           | → Stable             |
| <b>Thesis Status</b>           | <b>Under Siege.</b>          | <b>Transitioning.</b> Pivot to rural HITS provides a defensive moat, but retail growth is still missing. | ☐ Stabilizing        |

**INVESTOR NOTES:** \* **The HITS Pivot:** The thesis has officially shifted from a "Broadband Growth Story" (which is stalled) to a "National Infrastructure/HITS Story." If GTPL can consolidate the 40 Mn households currently served by unorganized MSOs using this platform, the scale will be unmatched. \* **The "Broadcaster Incentive" Trap:** 50% of revenue is now placement/carriage fees. This income is high-margin but high-risk, as it depends on broadcaster health and regulatory (TRAI) caps rather than consumer demand. \* **Capex Efficiency:** Broadband capex is being squeezed (planned ₹150 Cr, YTD only ₹50 Cr). This suggests management is slowing down urban fiber builds to preserve cash for the HITS rollout—a rational but defensive move.