

NBCC (India) Ltd — Feb 2022 Quarterly Analysis

1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

Result: Inline on Revenue / Beat on Margins **One-line:** Strong operating margins and redevelopment monetization are offset by a significant shortfall in project awarding and a necessary "pruning" of the order book, signaling slower-than-expected execution timelines.

| Dimension | This Quarter | Signal / Evidence | Sentiment |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Guidance | Inline / Weak Beat | Revenue ₹508 Cr; EBITDA grew 34.33% YoY. | □ |
| Earnings Quality | Moderate | High EBITDA growth but significant "Other Income" (Interest on seed money) is accrued, not yet cash. | □ |
| Guidance Confidence | Weak | Management missed the ₹500 Cr awarding target significantly (only ₹921 Cr achieved by mid-Feb). | □ |
| Management Credibility | Neutral | Honest about descoping ₹200 Cr of "non-materializing" orders, but execution pace remains a laggard. | □ |
| Business Quality Signal | Stable | Transitioning to high-margin redevelopment; Nauroji Nagar monetization is the primary liquidity engine. | □ |
| Key Q&A Exchange | Q1: Awarding Shortfall | Analyst noted ₹500 Cr shortfall in awarding; Mgmt hopes to bridge ₹600 Cr by March 31. | □ |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety | Order Book Realism | Descoping of ₹200 Cr and slow conversion of the ₹55,000 Cr backlog into revenue. | □ |
| Capital Cycle Stage | Harvesting (Redevelopment) | Significant cash tied in "Seed Money" (₹08 Cr) now starting to yield accrued interest. | □ |
| Margin Trajectory | Improving | Operating margins benefited from the mix shift toward PMC and Redevelopment. | □ |
| Pricing Power | Stable | Cost-plus model (PMC) protects margins; Redevelopment inventory pricing is the key lever. | □ |
| FCF Conversion & Quality | Distorted | Consol. cash of ₹900 Cr is 80%+ client advances; own cash is only ~₹00 Cr. | □ |
| Competitive Moat Signals | Stable | Navratna status ensures a steady flow of Govt. redevelopment projects (7 GPRA, AIIMS). | □ |
| Balance Sheet Strength | Strong | Zero debt; significant float from client advances. | □ |
| Working Capital Efficiency | Stable | High dependency on project-specific funding (e.g., Amrapali bank consortium). | □ |
| Mgmt Guidance Track | Mixed | Revenue targets generally met; awarding and execution timelines frequently slip. | □ |
| Key Vulnerability | Audit Qualifications | Vigilance inquiry (IIT Kharagpur) and environmental stays (Kochi) create legal overhangs. | □ |
| Management Tone | Cautious Optimism | Confident on Redevelopment/Overseas but defensive on execution pace and order book reductions. | □ |

Sentiment: □Neutral

Key Takeaways: * **Positives:** EBITDA margins are expanding as the company executes high-margin redevelopment projects like Nauroji Nagar (40% complete). The Amrapali project is finally getting a bank funding tie-up (₹1,500 Cr), which should ramp up quarterly execution from ₹300 Cr to ₹500 Cr. * **Negatives:** There is a massive shortfall in contract awarding—management has awarded only ~₹2,000 Cr against a target of ₹6,500 Cr for the year, making FY23 growth targets highly dependent on a massive Q4 rush. The order book was "pruned" by ₹6,200 Cr, admitting that a chunk of the backlog was stale or non-materializing. * **The Street's Concern:** Analysts are skeptical about the revenue run-rate. Management's response suggests that the gestation period for redevelopment is high (2-3 years), and they expect a "suitable jump" only in FY23. * **Forward Watchpoint:** Monitor the cash realization of the ₹220 Cr accrued interest on seed money and the final awarding tally by March 31, 2022.

2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

2A. KEY METRICS

PPT not available — all numbers sourced from concall transcript.

| Metric | Current Qtr (Q3FY22) | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|
| Total Income (₹ Cr) | ₹1,508 | Not Stated | Not Stated | First Entry | Revenue growth hampered by NGT bans and COVID 3rd wave. |
| EBITDA (₹Cr) | Not Stated | ↑ 34.33% | Not Stated | First Entry | Significant improvement in operating margins. |
| PBT (₹Cr) | Not Stated | ↑ 10.37% | Not Stated | First Entry | Impacted by lower dividend income from subsidiaries vs LY. |
| PAT (₹Cr) | Not Stated | Not Stated | Not Stated | First Entry | Growth attributed to core execution. |
| Order Book (Consol) | ₹65,000 | Not Stated | Not Stated | First Entry | Includes HSCC and HSCL (mostly PMC). |
| Order Book (Stand.) | ₹55,000 | Not Stated | ↓ ~11% | ↓ | Descoping of ₹6,200 Cr of slow/stalled projects. |
| Order Inflows (YTD) | ₹4,800 | Not Stated | Not Stated | First Entry | New business secured as of mid-Feb 2022. |
| Work Awarded (YTD) | ₹1,921 | Not Stated | Not Stated | ↓ | Massive shortfall vs ₹6,500 Cr annual target. |
| Consol. Cash (₹ Cr) | ₹4,900 | Not Stated | Not Stated | First Entry | ₹4,000 Cr is client advances; ₹500 Cr is own cash. |
| Execution Rate | ~12-14% | Not Stated | Not Stated | → | Execution run-rate remains low relative to backlog. |

2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

| Segment | Revenue (₹ Cr) | YoY Growth | Margin | Trend | vs Avg | Key Development |
|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------|--|
| PMC | ~25,000 (OB) | Not Stated | Standard | → | Inline | Includes AIIMS and IIM projects. |
| Redevelopment | ~30,000 (OB) | Not Stated | High | ↑ | Above | Nauroji Nagar (40% done); 7 GPRA starting. |
| Amrapali | 291 (Qtr) | Not Stated | Fixed Fee | ↑ | Below | Target ramp-up to 500 Cr/qtr post-funding. |
| Overseas | ~\$130M (OB) | N/A | High | ↑ | Above | Maldives & Burundi projects starting Q1FY23. |

3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category | Management Target / Claim | Required Run-Rate / Feasibility | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance | Revenue (FY22) | ₹1,500 Cr (Consolidated) | Needs ~₹2,300 Cr in Q4; achievable if NGT bans stay lifted. | First Entry | Moderate |
| Guidance | Awarding (FY22) | ₹6,500 Cr (Standalone) | Needs ₹4,500 Cr in 1.5 months; highly unlikely. | Missed | High |
| Guidance | Amrapali Exec. | 500 Cr / Quarter | Requires bank funding (₹1,500 Cr) tie-up by March. | Mixed | Moderate |
| Strategy | Descoping | Remove ₹6,200 Cr stale orders | Improves order book quality/realism. | Delivered | Low |
| Strategy | Redevelopment | Complete Nauroji Nagar | 40% complete; majority work in FY23. | On Track | Low |
| Strategy | Overseas | Mobilize Maldives/Burundi | Start revenue contribution in Q1 FY23. | New Target | Low |
| Balance | Cash Recovery | Recover ₹220 Cr Int. | ₹60 Cr sanctioned for Q4 recovery. | On Track | Low |

4. ANALYST Q&A

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict |
|----|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 5.0 | Rohit Natarajan (Antique) | Awarding Gap | Management Commentary | Why is the standalone awarding only ₹,000 Cr against a ₹,500 Cr target? | Management admitted the shortfall but claimed ₹,600 Cr of tenders are currently in progress for award by March 31. This delay pushes the revenue conversion cycle into late FY23, creating a growth overhang for the next two quarters. | None | 3.0 | Target likely missed |
| 2 | 4.0 | Rohit Natarajan (Antique) | Execution Pace | Business Overview | How can the company grow revenue if it doesn't award enough work against its ₹,000 Cr active sites? | Management argued that redevelopment projects have long gestation periods and that the 7 GPRA colonies clearing statutory hurdles will drive a "suitable jump" next year. The implication is that FY22 is a consolidation year, with the real growth inflection deferred to FY23. | None | 4.0 | Time-lag confirmed |
| 3 | 4.5 | Rohit Natarajan (Antique) | Amrapali Pace | Financials | Why is execution at Amrapali so slow (200-300 Cr/ qtr) despite ₹,800 Cr of awards? | Management cited NGT bans and third-wave COVID, but highlighted that a fresh ₹,500 Cr bank funding tie-up is expected within 15 days. | None | 4.0 | Funding is key |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|---|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | If the funding closes, Amrapali will contribute 2,000 Cr annually to the top line, significantly de-risking the PMC segment. | | | |
| 4 | 3.5 | Nitin Gandhi (KIFS) | Project Details | Business Overview | Request for specific revenue figures for the top 10 projects in Q3. | Management listed AIIMS Deogarh, Nauroji Nagar, and Bharat Vandana Park as top contributors but deferred specific figures to an email. This makes it difficult to assess the exact concentration risk and progress on individual high-margin sites. | Specific numbers | 3.0 | Evasive on detail |
| 5 | 4.0 | Parvez Qazi (Edelweiss) | Cash Position | Financials | What is the actual "own cash" available at the consolidated level? | Of the 4,900 Cr cash, management clarified that 4,000 Cr is client advances, leaving only ~500 Cr of internal liquidity. This confirms NBCC's asset-light but dependency-heavy model where execution is tied to client fund releases. | None | 5.0 | Clear disclosure |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict |
|----|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 6 | 4.5 | Rohit Natarajan (Antique) | Audit Notes | Governance | Explanation on audit note #7 regarding vigilance inquiry at IIT Kharagpur. | Management stated the matter involves procedural lapses and a vigilance inquiry against involved officers, but claimed no financial impact on books. While not a balance sheet risk, it highlights the recurring governance/ compliance friction in large PSU projects. | None | 3.0 | Oversight risk |
| 7 | 4.0 | Rohit Natarajan (Antique) | Order Book Pruning | Management Commentary | Why did the order book drop from ~62,000 Cr to 55,000 Cr standalone? | Management confirmed they deleted 6,200 Cr of projects that were not materializing or were on hold by clients (e.g., Africa, Uttarakhand). This is a "clean-up" signal that suggests the order book is now more realistic, though it exposes previous overstatement. | None | 4.0 | Quality over quantity |
| 8 | 3.0 | Rajeev Rupani (Individual) | Monetization | Capex and Allocation | Status of land banks in Jaipur, Alwar, and Coimbatore. | Management intends to develop Jaipur first as the real estate market picks up, but gave no specific timeline. This | Timelines | 2.5 | Vague on non-core |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict |
|----|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|--|--------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | | | remains a "latent value" play that is not currently contributing to the core execution thesis. | | | |

PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT

- **Order Book Realism:** Analysts focused heavily on the reduction of the order book and the slow pace of awarding. Management's defensive posture—calling it "pruning" and "gestation periods"—suggests they are prioritizing project viability over headline backlog numbers. The concern remains live as the awarding shortfall is significant.
- **Liquidity & Funding:** A recurring theme was the cash flow from Amrapali and the realization of interest on seed money. Management appeared confident that the bank consortium for Amrapali would close shortly, which is the primary catalyst for the PMC segment.
- **Analyst Sentiment Verdict:** Analysts were skeptical regarding the execution run-rate and "true" growth potential, given the awarding misses. Management's credibility was slightly bolstered by their transparency in deleting stagnant orders, but the friction regarding legal stays (Kochi) and vigilance inquiries (IIT) keeps the "governance discount" active. The primary unresolved issue is the massive Q4 awarding requirement to hit yearly targets.

GUIDANCE GAPS REVEALED IN Q&A

| Topic | What Mgmt Claimed (Opening / Prior Q) | What Q&A Revealed | Gap / Walk-back | Risk to Thesis |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Awarding | Target of 6,500 Cr for FY22. | Only 1,921 Cr achieved YTD (Mid-Feb). | ~70% shortfall with 1.5 months left. | High: FY23 revenue growth will likely be back-ended or miss targets. |
| Order Book | Previously touted ~62k-70k Cr levels. | Pruned to 55k Cr (Standalone). | Admit 6.2k Cr was "non-materializing". | Moderate: Backlog was inflated; current levels are more honest but lower. |

5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

First entry — no prior quarter to compare.

| What Changed | Prior Quarter | This Quarter | Direction |
|-----------------------|---------------|---|-------------|
| Standalone Order Book | Not available | 55,000 Cr | First Entry |
| Amrapali Funding | Not available | Bank tie-up (11,500 Cr) in final stages | ↑ |
| Awarding Status | Not available | Significant shortfall vs 6,500 Cr target | ↓ |
| Governance | Not available | New vigilance inquiry (IIT Kharagpur) disclosed | ↓ |
| Asset Monetization | Not available | 220 Cr interest accrued on seed money | ↑ |
| Order Book Quality | Not available | Descoping of 6,200 Cr stale orders | ↑ |

CFO-to-PAT Divergence Note: While full CFO data wasn't in the transcript, the "Other Income" of 220 Cr (Interest on Seed Money) is largely accrued and non-cash. This suggests that PBT/PAT growth is partially driven by accounting accruals rather than immediate cash inflows, a point for investors to monitor regarding earnings quality.