

## Punjab National Bank — Aug 2024 Quarterly Analysis

### 1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

**Result:** Beat **One-line:** PNB has successfully transitioned from a "cleanup" story to a "compounding" story, with asset quality now matching top-tier private peers (Net NPA <1%) and a fortress-like PCR providing high earnings visibility.

| Dimension                    | This Quarter       | Signal / Evidence                                                                                        | Sentiment |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Guidance        | Strong Beat        | Credit cost (0.32%) and GNPA (4.98%) significantly bettered original FY25 guidance.                      | ☐         |
| Earnings Quality             | High (Core driven) | PAT growth (+159% YoY) driven by NII growth and massive reduction in provisions.                         | ☐         |
| Guidance Confidence          | Strong             | Management revised FY25 GNPA guidance downwards from 5% to 4% and Credit Cost from 1% to 0.5%.           | ☐         |
| Management Credibility       | Strong             | Achieved <5% GNPA target ahead of schedule; transparency on SMA-0/1/2 book breakdown was high.           | ☐         |
| Business Quality Signal      | Improving          | ROA is marching toward 1% (0.82% currently) as legacy asset drags are eliminated.                        | ☐         |
| Key Q&A Exchange             | Q7: SMA Book       | Analysts questioned the ₹1,01,883 Cr SMA book; Mgmt clarified 76% is SMA-0 (least risk).                 | ☐         |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety | LDR & LCR Norms    | Fear of high Credit-Deposit ratio and draft LCR impact; Mgmt cited 125% current LCR and high excess SLR. | ☐         |
| Capital Cycle Stage          | Harvesting         | Massive PCR (95.9%) means bank no longer needs to provision heavily; profits flow to bottom line.        | ☐         |
| Return Ratio Trajectory      | Improving          | ROE expanded to 16.82% (annualized) from 3.94% in FY23.                                                  | ☐         |
| Pricing Power                | Stable             | Global NIM held at 3.07% despite deposit cost pressures.                                                 | ☐         |
| FCF Conversion & Quality     | N/A (Bank)         | Strong Tier-1 (13.04%) and capital generation reduces the need for aggressive equity dilution.           | ☐         |
| Competitive Moat Signals     | Stable             | 2nd largest domestic branch network (10,150) remains the primary CASA engine.                            | →         |
| Balance Sheet Strength       | Strong             | PCR (Incl. TWO) at 95.9% is among the highest in the Indian banking system.                              | ☐         |
| Working Capital Efficiency   | N/A (Bank)         | Business per employee improved to ₹24.80 Cr (+14.5% YoY).                                                | ☐         |
| Mgmt Guidance Track Record   | Reliable           | Consistently hitting or exceeding credit growth and recovery targets over last 4 quarters.               | ☐         |
| Key Vulnerability            | Deposit Growth     | Domestic deposit growth (8.5%) lags credit growth (12.2%), creating potential LDR pressure.              | ☐         |
| Management Tone              | Confident          | CEO described the result as a "trailer" with the "picture yet to come."                                  | ☐         |

**Key Takeaways:** \* **Positives:** Asset quality has hit a structural inflection point; GNPA is below 5% for the first time in years, and Net NPA at 0.60% removes the "tail risk" from the thesis. The bank is now generating enough capital internally to reduce its planned Tier-1 raise from ₹7,500 Cr to ₹5,000 Cr. Recovery remains robust (₹3,249

Cr in Q1), frequently exceeding fresh slippages (1.8x coverage). \* **Negatives:** Operating expenses were inflated by a one-off ₹58 Cr PSLC (Priority Sector Lending Certificate) purchase. CASA remains a industry-wide challenge, though PNB's saving bank growth was positive YoY. \* **The Street's Concern:** Analysts are focused on the "SMA-0/1/2" pool totaling over ₹1 trillion. Management mitigated this by showing that SMA-2 (high risk) accounts >₹5 Cr are a minuscule ₹1,604 Cr. \* **Forward Watchpoint:** Transition to the new tax regime and the impact of the final LCR (Liquidity Coverage Ratio) norms in April 2025.

## 2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

### 2A. KEY METRICS

| Metric                    | Current Qtr | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary                                                      |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NII Growth (%)            | 10.2%       | -          | -          | →     | Core NII growth driven by 12.2% advance growth and stable NIMs.      |
| NIM (Global %)            | 3.07%       | ↓ (3.08%)  | ↓ (3.09%)  | →     | Global NIM slightly down but within the guided range of 2.9%–3.0%.   |
| Cost of Funds %           | 4.54%       | ↑ (3.61%)  | ↑ (4.36%)  | ↓     | Industry-wide trend of rising funding costs as deposits reprice.     |
| Cost of Deposits %        | 5.10%       | ↑ (4.10%)  | ↑ (4.91%)  | ↓     | Global cost of deposits rose 100bps YoY; domestic at 5.08%.          |
| CASA Ratio (period end %) | 40.08%      | ↓ (42.0%)  | ↓ (41.4%)  | ↓     | CASA under pressure; however, Savings Deposits grew ₹20,390 Cr YoY.  |
| Credit-Deposit Ratio %    | 73.1%       | ↑ (69.1%)  | ↑ (71.8%)  | ↓     | Creeping up as loan growth (12.2%) outpaces deposit growth (8.5%).   |
| Loans Growth (% YoY)      | 12.2%       | -          | 4.6% QoQ   | ↑     | Driven by RAM (Retail, Agri, MSME) growth of 13%+.                   |
| Deposits Growth (% YoY)   | 8.5%        | -          | 2.8% QoQ   | ↓     | Lags loan growth; Mgmt avoiding high-cost bulk deposits.             |
| GNPA %                    | 4.98%       | ↓ (7.73%)  | ↓ (5.73%)  | ↑     | First time sub-5% post-merger; beat original year-end target.        |
| NNPA %                    | 0.60%       | ↓ (1.98%)  | ↓ (0.73%)  | ↑     | Approaching "zero" net NPA territory due to high provisioning.       |
| Slippage Ratio %          | 0.76%       | ↓ (1.10%)  | ↓ (0.87%)  | ↑     | Annualized slippages well within 1% guidance; lowest in 12 quarters. |
| Credit Cost %             | 0.32%       | ↓ (1.99%)  | ↓ (0.81%)  | ↑     | Major driver of profit; guidance revised to 0.5% for FY25.           |
| Capital Adequacy %        | 15.79%      | ↑ (15.50%) | ↓ (15.97%) | →     | CET1 at 10.95%. Capital computation excludes Q1 PAT.                 |
| PAT (₹Cr)                 | 3,252       | ↑ 159.1%   | ↑ 8.0%     | ↑     | Highest quarterly profit in bank's history post-merger.              |
| Fee Income Growth %       | 16.3%       | -          | -          | ↑     | Processing fees (+12.3%) and LC/LG income (+26.2%) were strong.      |

### 2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

| Segment     | Advances (₹ Cr) | YoY Growth | GNPA % | Trend | vs Co Avg       | Key Development                                   |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|--------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Retail      | 2,34,564        | 18.6%      | 1.42%  | ↑     | Outperforming   | Vehicle (+26.9%) and Home (+14.7%) loans leading. |
| Agriculture | 1,68,503        | 18.6%      | 12.64% | ↑     | Underperforming | Gold loan book hit ₹7,767 Cr (Agri only).         |
| MSME        | 1,42,886        | 9.8%       | 13.65% | ↑     | Stable          | Focus on small/micro; GNPA down from 18.9% YoY.   |
| Corporate   | 4,38,454        | 15.5%      | 1.25%  | ↑     | Improving       | Massive improvement in GNPA from 5.03% YoY.       |

### 3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category      | Management Target / Claim             | Run-Rate / Feasibility                                    | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance  | Loan Growth   | 11%–12% for FY25                      | Currently at 12.2%; easily achievable.                    | Delivered           | Low       |
| Guidance  | GNPA          | <4.0% by Mar-25 (Revised from 5%)     | Currently 4.98%; needs ~25bps reduction/qtr.              | Ahead of Schedule   | Low       |
| Guidance  | Credit Cost   | 0.50% for FY25 (Revised from 1.0%)    | Currently 0.32%; highly feasible given PCR.               | Delivered           | Low       |
| Guidance  | ROA           | 1.0% exit rate for FY25               | Currently 0.82%; requires tax regime shift or fee uptick. | On Track            | Medium    |
| Strategy  | Capital Raise | ₹5,000 Cr Tier-1 (Revised from 7,500) | Board approved; market conditions supportive.             | New Target          | Low       |
| Strategy  | RAM Mix       | Reach 60% (from 55.46%)               | Growing at 13%+; taking 100-150bps per year.              | Progressing         | Low       |
| Macro     | LCR Impact    | ~10% hit to LCR from draft norms      | Current 125% would drop to 115% (Threshold is 100%).      | N/A (Draft)         | Medium    |
| Balance   | Recoveries    | ₹18,000 Cr for FY25                   | Q1 at ₹3,249 Cr; needs ₹4,917 Cr run-rate per quarter.    | Historical Beat     | Medium    |

## 4. ANALYST Q&A

---

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm             | Category             | Underlying Concern                                                                      | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                             | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict                 |
|----|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | 4.5       | Mahrukh Adajania, Nuvama   | Financials           | "Do you think there are enough deposits in the system to support higher credit growth?" | Management is not foreseeing challenges as they have 10,000 branches and excess SLR of ₹78,000 Cr to fund growth. This implies PNB can outpace the industry in credit growth without immediate deposit pressure, a key margin protector. | None   | 4.0         | Specific and quantified |
| 2  | 4.0       | Mahrukh Adajania, Nuvama   | Management Outlook   | "Rough impact of the new LCR draft norms?"                                              | Management calculated a ~10% impact, which would bring their LCR from 125% down to 115%. This suggests a comfortable cushion above the 100% regulatory minimum even under tighter rules.                                                 | None   | 4.0         | Directional evidence    |
| 3  | 3.5       | Mahrukh Adajania, Nuvama   | Financials           | "What was the improvement in yield on investment because of new norms?"                 | Mgmt stated ₹2,099 Cr (net of tax) was added to general reserves and yield increased to 7.06% from 6.82%. This confirms the balance sheet strength gain from accounting changes without distorting core P&L.                             | None   | 5.0         | Specific, quantified    |
| 4  | 3.0       | Ashok Ajmera, Ajcon Global | Capex and Allocation | "Is the modified duration of the treasury book (4.76) too high?"                        | Mgmt argued that in a declining interest rate scenario, higher duration                                                                                                                                                                  | None   | 3.0         | Vague but consistent    |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm                | Category   | Underlying Concern                                     | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                           | Evaded | Credibility | Verdict              |
|----|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|
|    |           |                               |            |                                                        | is preferable, though they are keeping AFS/ HFT duration at 3.61. This indicates a bullish stance on rate cuts to drive treasury gains.                                                                                |        |             |                      |
| 5  | 4.0       | Nitin Aggarwal, Motilal Oswal | Financials | "OPEX looks high; was there a one-off in other OPEX?"  | Mgmt confirmed a one-off ₹558 Cr expenditure for the purchase of PSLCs (Priority Sector Lending Certificates). Stripping this out, core OPEX is much leaner, suggesting better operating leverage in Q2.               | None   | 5.0         | Specific, quantified |
| 6  | 4.0       | Nitin Aggarwal, Motilal Oswal | Financials | "When can we expect the tax rate to come down to 25%?" | Mgmt is currently in the old tax regime and will switch once they exhaust current benefits, which will immediately boost ROA >1%. This is a significant "latent" earnings trigger for the next 12 months.              | None   | 3.0         | Vague timeline       |
| 7  | 5.0       | Jai Mundra, ICICI Securities  | Financials | "Breakdown of SMA-0, 1, and 2 book (₹1.01 lakh Cr)?"   | Management clarified that SMA-0 is ₹7,952 Cr, SMA-1 is ₹11,432 Cr, and SMA-2 is ₹12,499 Cr. High SMA-0 is often just "lazy" payments rather than stress; the low SMA-2 (>₹5Cr) at ₹1,604 Cr is the real safety signal. | None   | 4.0         | Specific breakdown   |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm               | Category   | Underlying Concern                                                 | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                           | Evaded                 | Credibility | Verdict   |
|----|-----------|------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 8  | 3.5       | Jai Mundra, ICICI Securities | Financials | "Any thought process on contingent provisions for ECL transition?" | Mgmt stated that with 96% PCR and strong new underwriting (0.3% NPA in last 4 years), ECL impact is likely manageable. They expressed willingness to build buffers if recoveries continue to surprise on the upside.   | Specific ECL estimates | 2.0         | Hedged    |
| 9  | 3.0       | Rakesh Kumar, B&K Securities | Financials | "Which subsidiary caused the ₹390 Cr investment depreciation?"     | Management declined to name the specific subsidiary, noting it was due to revaluation under new guidelines for an entity with accumulated losses. This is a minor one-off that doesn't impact core banking operations. | Subsidiary Name        | 2.0         | Deflected |

**PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT \* Asset Quality Anxiety:** Multiple analysts pressed on the high SMA (Special Mention Account) pool. Management's defensive but data-heavy response (breaking down SMA-0 vs SMA-2) successfully reframed the concern from "stress" to "liquidity management" by borrowers. This concern is likely to fade as slippages remain <1%. \* **Liquidity/Regulatory Worry:** Questions on LDR (Loan-Deposit Ratio) and new LCR norms were persistent. Management appeared confident, citing their massive branch network and excess SLR as a "liquidity moat." This will remain a live topic until deposit growth accelerates.

**Analyst Sentiment Verdict:** Analysts were largely impressed, specifically by the 0.32% credit cost and the sub-5% GNPA. The friction point was exclusively on the SMA book and LDR ratios, but management's transparency on the "new underwriting" (0.3% NPA on loans since 2022) significantly bolstered credibility.

## 5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

*First entry — no prior quarter to compare.*