Hindustan Construction Company Ltd — Aug 2022 Quarterly Analysis

1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

The punchline. Read this first — it frames everything below.

Result: Miss on Operations / On-Track for Deleveraging **One-line:** Operational margins collapsed due to localized protests and labor shortages, but the structural thesis remains intact as the long-awaited ₹3,500 Cr+ debt carve-out reaches the "logistical" finish line.

Dimension	This Quarter	Signal / Evidence	Sentiment
Beat/Miss vs Guidance	Weak Miss	Standalone revenue down 3.4% YoY; EBITDA margins collapsed to 10.5% vs 17.1% YoY.	□
Earnings Quality	Low (Distorted)	Losses exacerbated by ₹243 Cr interest burden on debt slated for transfer; operations hit by one-off protests.	□
Guidance Confidence	Neutral	Management expects debt closure in 4–5 weeks; however, this has been a multi-quarter "sliding" timeline.	□
Management Credibility	Neutral	High transparency on operational hurdles (fishermen protests), but history of restructuring delays weighs on trust.	□
Business Quality Signal	Stable	Core E&C capabilities remain strong (97% completion at RAPP, 56% at Anjikhad); order book is healthy at ₹14,875 Cr.	□
Key Q&A Exchange	Q2 + Restructuring	Management confirmed interest costs will drop by ~₹100 Cr/quarter post-restructuring.	□
The Street's Primary Anxiety	Execution Timeline	Analysts focused on when exactly the debt moves off-book and when bidding capacity returns.	□
Capital Cycle Stage	Consolidation	Exiting a period of severe financial stress; moving toward a "lighter" balance sheet to restart growth.	□
Margin Trajectory	Deteriorating	EBITDA margins hit a multi-quarter low of 10.5% due to under-absorption of costs in Mumbai.	□
Pricing Power	Stable	High-entry barrier projects (Nuclear/Hydro) keep competitive intensity low for their core addressable market.	□
FCF Conversion & Quality	Distorted	Cash flow is currently consumed by interest; pivot depends entirely on the SPV transfer.	□
Competitive Moat	Stable	Deep expertise in underground structures and nuclear remains a significant barrier to entry.	□
Balance Sheet Strength	Stressed	Standalone debt remains high; transition to "Proforma" ₹3,500 Cr debt is the catalyst.	□
Working Capital	Deteriorating	Impacted by slow progress in Mumbai and monsoons in the Northeast.	□
Mgmt Guidance Track Record	Mixed	Accurate on operational milestones but conservative/delayed on financial restructuring timelines.	□
Key Vulnerability	Logistical Delays	MCA website maintenance and final NHAI approvals are the last hurdles for the debt swap.	□
Management Tone	Cautiously Confident	VC Arjun Dhawan appeared resolute on the restructuring finish line while being realistic about operational friction.	□

Sentiment: □Neutral

Key Takeaways: * **Positives:** The debt resolution plan has achieved 100% lender signatures, a critical hurdle cleared; the BFHL (NH34) stake sale is in the final documentation stage, promising ₹900 Cr in liquidity; the order book of ₹14,875 Cr provides ~3.8x revenue visibility. * **Negatives:** Operations were severely hampered by fishermen protests at the Mumbai Coastal Road project and a 13% PCU growth (adjusted) in concessions wasn't enough to offset a consolidated loss of ₹279 Cr; standalone EBITDA margins fell 660 bps YoY due to labor shortages and cost under-absorption. * **Street Concern:** Analysts are primarily wary of the "restructuring fatigue"—management's response that SEBI/MCA logistics are the only remaining steps suggests the overhang should clear by Q3 FY23. * **Forward Watchpoint:** Monitor the "NCD issuance" and the transfer of ₹3,500 Cr debt in September; any further slide in this timeline would be a significant red flag for management credibility.

---

2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

2A. KEY METRICS

Metric	Current Qtr (Q1FY23)	YoY Change	QoQ Change	Trend	Mgmt Commentary
Total Income (Standalone)	₹984.15 Cr	↓ 3.4%	↓ 37.5%	↓	Impacted by lower turnover in Mumbai projects (Coastal Road protests/labour).
EBITDA (Excl. Other Inc)	₹101.63 Cr	↓ 40.9%	↓ 64.8%	↓	Volume drop in high-margin Mumbai projects led to poor cost absorption.
EBITDA Margin %	10.45%	↓ 664 bps	↓ 792 bps	↓	Margin compression driven by operational delays; historical mid-teens target remains.
PAT (Loss)	(₹150.76 Cr)	↓ 248.9%	↓ 796.7%	↓	Dragged by ₹243.12 Cr finance cost; current structure is not sustainable without SPV transfer.
Order Book (Standalone)	₹14,875 Cr	Not in doc	Not in doc	→	Transport (roads/rail) remains the dominant segment.
Order Inflows	Not in doc	Not in doc	Not in doc	→	Focus has been on execution; full-scale bidding to resume post-restructuring.
Steiner AG Turnover	₹1,242 Cr	↓ 15.7%	Not in doc	↓	CHF 156.3M revenue; temporary loss due to accounting timing gap vs. physical progress.
Concessions Revenue	₹35.1 Cr	↑ 34% (IGAAP)	Not in doc	↑	Strong PCU growth (13% adjusted) at BFHL; tolling recovering post-Covid.
Interest Coverage (x)	0.42x	↓	↓	↓	EBITDA fails to cover standalone interest of ₹243 Cr.
Net Debt (Fund Based)	~₹6,000 Cr	→	→	→	Proforma debt expected to drop to ₹3,500 Cr post-transfer (Mgmt estimate).

2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

Segment	Revenue (₹ Cr)	YoY Growth	Margin	Trend	vs Co. Avg	Key Development
Transport	~₹7,000 Cr*	N/A	Mixed	↓	Above	Coastal Road delayed; Delhi Metro (54%) and Anjikhad (56%) progressing.
Hydro	~₹3,300 Cr*	N/A	High	→	Above	Nikachhu (85%) and Tehri PSP hydro-mechanical works in progress.
Nuclear/ Special	~₹2,200 Cr*	N/A	High	↑	Above	RAPP 7&8 at 97% completion; BARC Tarapur complex at 70%.
Steiner AG (Swiss)	₹1,242 Cr	↓ 15.7%	Negative*	↓	Below	CHF 9.8M loss; backlog remains strong at ₹7,409 Cr (CHF 942.6M).

**Revenue calculated from segment % of 14,875 Cr backlog where explicit quarterly revenue was not split. Steiner margin is currently negative due to "temporary" accounting timing.*

---

3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

Dimension	Category	Management Target / Claim	Required Run-Rate	Historical Delivery	Risk Flag
Guidance	Debt Transfer	₹3,500 Cr+ debt to move to SPV in 4–5 weeks.	Binary event (Q2 end/ Q3 start).	Delayed from Q4FY22.	High (Logistics/ NHAI)
Guidance	Interest Cost	Reduction of ₹100 Cr per quarter post-restructuring.	₹400 Cr annualized reduction.	First entry.	Medium
Guidance	Order Intake	Targeting ₹6,000–9,000 Cr over 12 months.	₹1,500-2,250 Cr per quarter.	Recent focus was on debt.	Low (Large pipeline)
Strategy	New Bidding	Pursuing high-value urban infra/ underground hydro where EBITDA is mid-teens.	Selective bidding on ₹15k–20k Cr pipeline.	Historical strength.	Low
Strategy	QIP/Growth	Enabling resolution for QIP to provide "cushion" and growth capital.	Strategic/Value accretive.	New development.	Medium (Dilution)
Macro	Labor/Input	Resolve skilled labor shortage in Mumbai.	Immediate mobilization required.	Hit Q1 execution.	High (Project ROI)
Balance	Deleveraging	Group to receive ₹900 Cr from BFHL sale (Net).	₹300 Cr available as loan immediately.	Final stages.	Low

4. ANALYST Q&A

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
1	5.0	Sandeep Sabharwal	Debt/Int	Financials	"The resolution was almost complete a year and a half back, so what happens to this interest cost?"	Management confirmed that all interest accrued since the plan began will be transferred to the SPV alongside the principal debt. This removes a significant liability overhang from the standalone balance sheet, though the P&L impact will only be visible from Q3 onwards.	None	4.0	Specific timeline
2	4.0	Sandeep Sabharwal	Bidding	Business	"What is the kind of pipeline you see and any orders where you are L1?"	HCC has identified a ₹15,000–20,000 Cr pipeline and currently has a ₹4,000 Cr bid under evaluation, though they are not currently L1 in any project. Winning these will be the primary driver for a re-rating once financial capacity is restored via BGs and bonds.	None	4.0	Directional

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
3	4.5	Sandeep Sabharwal	Strategy	Management	"Is there any change in the way you bid for future projects so that you don't get into surprised losses and arbitration?"	Management is prioritizing contracts with built-in dispute resolution frameworks and upfront government clearances to avoid the multi-year litigation cycles that historically crippled HCC's cash flows. This strategic shift is vital for protecting future margins and ensuring cash flow predictability.	None	3.5	Qualitative shift
4	5.0	Mohit Kumar	Timeline	Financials	"When do we expect [restructuring] to close... and when do you think we can start participating in the bids full-fledged?"	Arjun Dhawan indicated a 4–5 week window for final closure, pending SEBI approval, with full-fledged bidding activity planned for September onwards. The immediate availability of bank guarantees (BGs) is the critical enabler for the □6,000-9,000	None	4.5	Specific timeline

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
						Cr order intake target.			
5	4.0	Mohit Kumar	Liquidity	Financials	"On the cash flow, has everything come into the company or is there something that is still pending?"	Group liquidity of □900 Cr from the BFHL sale is expected by late August/ early September, with □300 Cr available immediately via future revenue securitization. This inflow is the primary bridge to fund operations until execution picks up in Mumbai.	None	4.0	Specific data
6	3.0	Anil Ahatajee	Operations	Business	"Why does it take such a long time [6 months] to start the bids?"	Management explained that design-build contracts require 4–6 months for planning, facility setup, and design approvals before permanent work begins. This clarifies the "lag" between order wins and revenue recognition for new-age EPC projects.	None	5.0	Technical clarity
7	4.5	Anil Ahatajee	Debt	Financials	"What will be the proforma debt	Post-restructuring, fund-based	None	4.5	Quantified

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
					component... and the proforma interest cost?"	debt will drop to roughly □3,500 Cr, and quarterly interest costs will settle at □140–150 Cr. This □100 Cr/ quarter interest saving is the cornerstone of the turnaround thesis.			
8	3.5	Chetan	QIP	Governance	"I just wanted to know the thought process of doing the QIP issue now at this stage."	The QIP is an enabling resolution for growth capital and strategic opportunities, intended to be used only after a rerating occurs post-restructuring. While dilutive, it provides the necessary equity cushion to bid for "extremely large" projects without straining the new debt structure.	None	3.5	Strategy signal
9	4.0	Ashish	Rerating	Management	"Are you looking at doing it [QIP] prior to the closure... or post that because one would expect a rerating?"	Management explicitly stated they recognize the need for a rerating before dilution and will time any QIP based on	None	4.0	Incentives aligned

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
						valuation. This aligns management incentives with shareholders, focusing on value creation before capital expansion.			
10	3.0	Ashish	Lavasa	Governance	"Is any further headway [on Lavasa] or is that still a work in progress?"	HCC has fully written off its investment in Lavasa and claims no further financial recourse/ blowback from the IBC process. While the perception of Lavasa remains an overhang, the financial reality is that it is already zeroed out on the books.	None	3.0	Risk dismissal
11	4.0	Arjun Dhawan*	SPV Assets	Financials	*Self-correction on SPV cover*	Dhawan highlighted that the arbitration awards in the SPV carry post-award interest at a rate higher than the debt cost, ensuring the asset cover actually grows over time. This provides long-term "positive equity"	None	4.0	Structural insight

Q#	Relevance	Analyst	Theme	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded / Not Addressed	Credibility	Verdict
						potential for HCC when the SPV is eventually liquidated.			
12	3.5	Mayank	Promoter	Governance	"Promoter has sold 2% share value this quarter... can you throw some light on that?"	The sale was a natural deleveraging process following the promoter group's underwriting of the majority of a previous rights issue, which had spiked their holding to 36%. This explanation mitigates "insider dumping" fears, framing it as a rebalancing of personal leverage.	None	4.0	Transparency

PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT

- **Restructuring Fatigue:** Analysts repeatedly probed the debt transfer timeline (Mohit Kumar, Mayank). Management's response shifted from "weeks" in the previous quarter to a very specific "4–5 weeks" now, citing logistical hurdles like the MCA website maintenance. The anxiety remains high as this is the single gatekeeper to HCC’s viability.
- **Operational Resilience:** Concerns over the 10.5% EBITDA margin were met with specific explanations of fishermen protests in Mumbai. Management appears confident that this is a 45-day localized issue rather than a structural margin collapse.
- **Bidding Hunger:** There is a clear shift in focus toward "growth capital" (QIP) and new bidding. Analysts are skeptical about HCC’s ability to compete after a long hiatus, but management is pointing to a massive addressable market and low competitive intensity in their core technical niches.

Analyst Sentiment Verdict: Analysts are in a "wait-and-see" mode, cautiously optimistic but scarred by past delays. The credibility of the "100% lender signatures" was the most positive takeaway, while the friction remains centered on the exact timing of the interest cost drop. Management’s credibility is currently "on probation" until the September NCD issuance is confirmed.

5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

First entry — no prior quarter to compare.

What Changed	Prior Quarter	This Quarter	Direction
Lender Consensus	Partial	100% Signed	↑
Operational Progress	Normal	Protest Delays	↓
Restructuring Stage	Approval Phase	NCD Issuance/Logistics	↑
Liquidity Outlook	Uncertain	₹900 Cr identified (BFHL)	↑
Bidding Stance	Dormant	Active Pipeline Evaluation	↑
Capital Strategy	Debt Focus	Enabling QIP (Growth focus)	↑
EBITDA Margins	~17-18%	10.5%	↓

INVESTOR NOTES: * **Earnings Quality:** CFO-to-PAT is currently distorted by the heavy interest accrual (₹243 Cr) which is not supported by operating cash flow (Loss of ₹151 Cr). The "Working Capital Lever" here is the accumulation of interest which will be offloaded to the SPV; effectively, the business is surviving on the expectation of this debt transfer. * **Thesis Change:** Does this quarter change the thesis? **No.** It confirms the structural path but highlights that operational "noise" (protests, labor) will continue to make the P&L volatile until the debt is moved. The thesis remains a financial engineering play first, and an EPC execution play second. STOP.