Indian Energy Exchange Ltd — May 2022 Quarterly Analysis

1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

Result: Beat **One-line:** IEX delivered a record-breaking FY22 with 102 BU volumes, yet the thesis is transitioning from a "pure-play power exchange" to an "integrated energy platform" as management navigates temporary price caps and a pivot from mandatory (MBED) to voluntary (Gross Bidding) market deepening.

Dimension	This Quarter	Signal / Evidence	Sentiment
Beat/Miss vs Guidance / Prior Quarter	Strong Beat	FY22 volumes hit 102 BU (+37% YoY); Q4 PAT grew 45% YoY (Consolidated).	□
Earnings Quality	High (Core driven)	84% of revenue from transaction fees; IGX achieved full-year profitability (□1.8 Cr PAT).	□
Guidance Confidence	Neutral	MBED implementation is stalled; pivot to "Gross Bidding" requires fresh regulatory cycles.	□
Management Credibility	Strong	Successfully hit IGX breakeven targets; proactive tech upgrades (APIs/Web bidding).	□
Business Quality Signal	Stable	Liquidity moat remains robust (99%+ share in RTM/DAM) despite impending competition.	□
Key Q&A Exchange	Q# 1 + Price Caps	Price caps in April '22 are temporary; liquidity to return with wind/hydro season.	□
The Street's Primary Anxiety	Fee compression / Competition	Mgmt argues liquidity, not fee size, dictates generator behavior; fee caps are industry standard.	□
Capital Cycle Stage	Harvesting	Asset-light platform generating high FCF; 200% total dividend for FY22.	□
Margin / Return Ratio Trajectory	Stable	Standalone PAT margin maintained at 63%; operating leverage offset CSR/Bonus costs.	□
Pricing Power	Stable	Holding at 2 paise/unit; management has no intention to initiate a fee war with HPX.	□
FCF Conversion & Quality	Strong	Float increased significantly due to high clearing prices (Payables □635 Cr).	□
Competitive Moat Signals	Wide	Perishable nature of electricity forces volume to the platform with highest sell-side liquidity.	□
Balance Sheet Strength	Strong	Zero debt; Cash and equivalents surged to support higher transaction settlement values.	□
Working Capital Efficiency	Distorted (Positive)	Payables spike reflects temporary high-price environment, not structural shift.	□
Mgmt Guidance Track Record	Reliable	Delivered on Green market growth and Cross-border trade milestones.	□
Key Vulnerability / Red Flag	Regulatory Intervention	Direct price capping at □12/unit (Section 107) creates a ceiling on market-based discovery.	□
Management Tone	Confident/Pragmatic	Firm on liquidity moat; realistic about regulatory delays on MBED.	□

Sentiment: □Positive

Key Takeaways (Positives & Negatives): * **Positives:** IEX achieved its highest-ever annual volume (102 BU), driven by a 70% YoY surge in Real-Time Markets (RTM) and a successful restart of Renewable Energy Certificates (REC). The Gas Exchange (IGX) has reached financial maturity (□1.8 Cr PAT in Year 1), proving the management's ability to scale adjacent energy markets. Regulatory clarity on the CERC-SEBI dispute now clears the path for Long Duration Contracts (LDC), allowing IEX to target the 50-60 BU bilateral market. * **Negatives:** The Ministry of Power's intervention to cap prices at □12/unit has temporarily dampened exchange volumes in early Q1 FY23 as buyers/sellers migrate to alternate platforms to avoid non-clearance. The anticipated Market Based Economic Dispatch (MBED) is effectively on hold, forcing IEX to rely on "Gross Bidding"—a voluntary mechanism that may take longer to gain state-level traction. * **Street Concern:** Analysts are hyper-focused on the entry of HPX (the 3rd exchange) and potential fee wars. Management's response is that in a "perishable commodity" market, liquidity is the only moat that matters; generators will not risk unsold power (valued at □4-5) for a 1-2 paisa fee saving. * **Watchpoint:** The actual launch date of Long Duration Contracts (LDCs). With the Supreme Court issue resolved and the CERC order reserved, this is the primary catalyst to unlock 50+ BU of non-spot volume.

---

2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

2A. KEY METRICS

DATA SOURCE: PPT figures primary (doc_id=2308); Concall for commentary (doc_id=2307).

Metric	Current Qtr (Q4FY22)	YoY Change	QoQ Change	Trend	Mgmt Commentary
Standalone Revenue (□Cr)	128.4	↑ 28.0%	↓ 1.8%	↑	Growth driven by 21% volume expansion across all segments.
Consolidated Revenue (□Cr)	128.4	↑ 28.0%	↓ 1.8%	↑	Consolidated matches standalone as IGX is now an associate company.
EBITDA (□Cr)	111.3	↑ 32.7%	↓ 0.7%	↑	Operating leverage continues to maintain high margins.
EBITDA Margin %	86.7%	↑ 300 bps	↑ 100 bps	↑	Efficiency from automated platform despite higher CSR spend.
PAT (□Cr)	88.4	↑ 43.7%	↑ 9.5%	↑	Includes high other income (12% of revenue) and IGX share.
ROCE (%)	Not in doc	First entry	First entry	→	Asset-light nature ensures industry-leading ROCE.
Cash Flow (OCF)	Not in doc	First entry	First entry	→	Float increased as payables hit □635 Cr (vs □87 Cr YoY).
Net Debt / (Cash) (□ Cr)	(Cash Rich)	→	→	↑	Cash reserves maintained to meet settlement obligations.
Standalone PAT Margin %	63%	→	→	→	Historically stable; marginal cost of volume is zero.
Working Capital	Stable	→	→	→	Spike in payables reflects high energy prices, not inefficiency.

2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

Segment	Volume (MU/ Certs)	YoY Growth	Market Share	Trend	vs Company Avg	Key Development
DAM + TAM	20,600 MU	↓ 4.0%	94.2%	↓	Lagging	Impacted by high coal prices and supply shortages.
RTM	5,000 MU	↑ 51.5%	99.9%	↑	Outperforming	Essential for DISCOMs to balance renewable intermittency.
Green Market	1,200 MU	↑ 400%+	80.0%	↑	Outperforming	GDAM and GTAM becoming critical for RPO compliance.
REC	22.5 Lakh Certs	Resumed	72.0%	↑	New Driver	Massive clearance of backlog post regulatory hurdles.
IGX (Gas)	71.4 Lakh MMBtu	↑ 5900%+	100%	↑	High Growth	FY22 PAT of □1.8 Cr; achieved breakeven in Year 1.

3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

Dimension	Category	Management Target / Claim	Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility	Historical Delivery	Risk Flag
Guidance	Volume	20-25% volume growth in FY23.	Needs ~120-125 BU in FY23; achievable if LDC launches in H1.	**Delivered**: FY22 hit 37% growth.	Low
Guidance	New Products	Launch Long Duration Contracts (LDC) by end of Q1FY23.	Order is reserved by CERC; pending final notification.	**Delayed**: Pending for 3 quarters.	Medium
Guidance	Margins	Maintain 60%+ PAT margins.	Volume growth on fixed cost base makes this highly feasible.	**Delivered**: Consistent at 63%.	Low
Strategy	IGX Expansion	Reach 15% gas mix in India by 2030.	Requires massive infrastructure (35k km pipeline) and uniform GST.	**On Track**: Strategic partners (IOCL, etc.) joined.	Medium
Macro	Price Caps	Capping at □12 is a temporary "interim period" measure.	Depends on coal/gas price cooling or wind/hydro uptick in May.	**New**: First major cap since Oct '21.	High
Strategy	Market Deepening	Shift from MBED to "Gross Bidding."	Requires state-by-state advocacy; voluntary participation is slow.	**Pivot**: Admit MBED is stalled.	Medium

4. ANALYST Q&A

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
1	5.0	Mohit Kumar / DAM Capital	Price Caps	Financials	How is the □12/unit price cap affecting volumes and shifts to other platforms?	Management admitted the cap has led to a lack of price discovery, causing buyers to shift to alternate platforms temporarily. This creates a volume overhang for Q1FY23 until supply situation improves via wind and hydro.	None	4.0	Pragmatic admission
2	3.5	Mohit Kumar / DAM Capital	IGX Financials	Financials	Why does Gas Exchange revenue look low (□28 Lakhs) in consolidation?	Management clarified that IGX ceased to be a subsidiary mid-January, so the □5.6 Cr Q4 transaction fee is not fully reflected in consolidated revenue. This confirms IGX is now an associate, improving governance and compliance.	None	5.0	Technical clarity
3	4.0	Bharani V / Spark Capital	New Products	Business Overview	What is the potential of NOAR, Ancillary, and Gross Bidding?	Management explained NOAR will automate open access processes while LDCs target the 50-60 BU bilateral	None	4.0	Directional evidence

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
						market. This suggests multiple legs of growth beyond the current 7% market penetration.			
4	4.5	Bharani V / Spark Capital	MBED	Management Outlook	When will MBED commence and what are the transaction fee implications?	Management bluntly stated "nothing is happening in MBED" and they have pivoted to Gross Bidding as a voluntary alternative. This lowers the immediate "forced" volume catalyst but de-risks the business from state resistance.	None	5.0	High (Direct)
5	5.0	Sumit Kishore / Axis Capital	Transaction Fees	Governance	Will CERC reduce the 2-paise transaction fee given competition?	Management argued that 2 paise is reasonable compared to the 7-paise trading margin for traders and is an industry-accepted practice. Maintaining this fee is critical to IEX's 63% PAT margin thesis.	None	4.0	Confident posture
6	4.0	Kunal Thanvi / Banyan Tree	Dividend Policy	Capex and Allocation	Why is the dividend payout still only 60% of	Management stated the policy is to distribute "at	None	3.0	Consistent policy

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
					PAT despite high cash?	least 50%," and they distributed □180 Cr (60%) this year. This implies IEX is retaining some cash for inorganic diversification or settlement reserves.			
7	4.5	Ankush Agarwal / Surge Capital	Price Caps	Governance	Were exchanges consulted before the □12 cap and is it a long-term risk?	Management confirmed they were not consulted as it was a Section 107 directive from the Govt. If caps become frequent, it limits the exchange's value proposition during peak demand periods.	None	3.0	Honest admission
8	4.0	Nikhil / DAM Capital	Open Access	Business Overview	Why has Open Access volume dropped to 8% of total?	Management explained high clearing prices (□4.40) made Open Access unviable for industrial consumers in many states. OA volumes are inversely correlated with high energy prices, making them a volatile segment.	None	4.0	Logical link
9	4.0		LDC Timeline	Management Outlook	What is causing the	Management cited the	None	4.0	

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
		Swarnim M / Edelweiss			delay in launching Long Duration Contracts (LDC)?	regulatory process (public comments/ hearing) and noted the order is now "reserved" by CERC. LDC launch is the single largest "unlock" for FY23 volumes.			Process-driven delay
10	3.5	Aditya Yadav / Transient Capital	Derivatives	Strategy	Are derivatives necessary before LDC launch to provide hedging?	Management clarified that LDC and derivatives are independent; LDCs will shift existing bilateral trades to the platform immediately. Spot liquidity is expected to increase once SEBI-regulated derivatives launch for hedging.	None	4.0	Strategic clarity
11	4.5	Aditya Yadav / Transient Capital	Competition	Management Outlook	Will IEX reduce fees if competitors (HPX) launch with lower pricing?	Management stated they have "no intention" to reduce fees as generators prioritize liquidity/ execution over 1-2 paise fee savings. This reinforces the "network effect" moat as the	None	5.0	Firm stance

Q#	Relevance	Analyst / Firm	Theme Cluster	Category	Underlying Concern	Management Response & Investment Implication	Evaded	Credibility	Verdict
						primary defense.			

PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT * **The "Deficit" Dilemma:** Analysts expressed clear anxiety over the price caps and supply deficit. Management's posture was realistic: no exchange can thrive in a chronic shortage environment. The concern is that if coal shortages persist, the Exchange becomes a political target for price intervention, as seen with the ₹12/unit cap. * **The "Moat" Argument:** Management repeatedly emphasized that liquidity is "sticky" and electricity is a "perishable commodity." They were dismissive of fee-based competition (HPX), arguing that the cost of an "un-cleared" bid far outweighs any transaction fee saving. This posture was confident and consistent. * **Analyst Sentiment Verdict:** Analysts were impressed by the IGX turnaround and the 102 BU milestone but remained skeptical about the impact of price caps on Q1FY23 performance. The credibility of management remains high due to consistent margin delivery and tech advancement. The primary unresolved friction is the stagnant progress on MBED, though management successfully shifted the focus to the "LDC" and "Gross Bidding" pipeline.

5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

What Changed	Prior Quarter (Q3FY22)	This Quarter (Q4FY22)	Direction
MBED Outlook	Implementation expected by April 2022.	"Nothing is happening"; Pivot to Gross Bidding.	↓
Market Share (REC)	76% (Post-restart)	72%	↓
Pricing Regulation	Market-driven price discovery (up to ₹20).	Capped at ₹12/unit via Section 107.	↓
IGX Performance	Achieving breakeven trajectory.	Confirmed FY22 PAT of ₹1.8 Cr.	↑
Settlement Liquidity	Payables at ₹87 Cr.	Payables surged to ₹635 Cr (Float).	↑
Product Portfolio	LDC in planning.	LDC order reserved by CERC; Launch imminent.	↑
Open Access Mix	Higher contribution.	Dropped to 8% due to high clearing prices.	↓
Dividend	100% Interim.	200% Total (Re 1 Final added).	↑

- **Investor Note on Working Capital:** The ₹635 Cr trade payables (vs ₹87 Cr last year) is a massive divergence from PAT, caused by the settlement of extremely high clearing prices at year-end. This is "other people's money" (float) and enhances the interest income/other income profile of the business (now 12% of standalone revenue).
- **Investor Note on MBED:** The walk-back on MBED is significant. Investors should stop modeling a "regulatory-forced" volume explosion and focus on the organic migration of bilateral contracts via the upcoming LDC products.
- **Investor Note on Price Caps:** The ₹12 cap is a tail-risk turned reality. If power shortages become a recurring summer feature in India, the Exchange's ability to act as a free market will be seasonally hampered by populist regulatory intervention.