

## 1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

---

**Result:** Weak Miss (on Margins) / Strong Beat (on Revenue Momentum, adjusted for one-offs) **One-line:** A "perfect storm" of transient external shocks (water/power) and a governance headache (customs/legal) masked robust underlying demand, leaving the ₹1,000 Cr FY27 thesis intact but requiring flawless execution for the remainder of the year.

| Dimension                    | This Quarter              | Signal / Evidence                                                                                                                                                 | Sentiment |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Guidance        | Miss                      | Revenue (₹190 Cr) missed implied run-rate due to ₹35 Cr water-related deferment; EBITDA margin (25%) fell well below 33-35% guide.                                | □         |
| Earnings Quality             | Low (One-off heavy)       | PAT impacted by retrospective power costs (₹5 Cr) and solar policy changes (₹8 Cr).                                                                               | □         |
| Guidance Confidence          | Strong                    | Management re-iterated ₹1,000 Cr revenue and 33-35% EBITDA margin for FY27 despite Q1 headwinds.                                                                  | □         |
| Management Credibility       | Neutral                   | Dented by "human oversight" in customs/CBN documentation leading to an employee arrest, but partially redeemed by transparent communication on operational fixes. | □         |
| Business Quality Signal      | Stable                    | Core demand remains high; 72% backward integration continues to provide a structural floor despite cost spikes.                                                   | □         |
| Key Q&A Exchange             | Q#7 - Customs Lapse       | Management clarified the customs issue was a 2-day technical lapse on a single psychotropic consignment; no cascading impact on other products.                   | □         |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety | Sustainability of Margins | Analysts questioned the return to 33-35% margins; mgmt confirmed power hikes can be passed through on a PO-basis.                                                 | □         |
| Capital Cycle Stage          | Investment                | Groundbreaking at Patalganga (₹200 Cr Phase 1) started; Ambernath EU audit scheduled for Nov-2026.                                                                | □         |
| Margin Trajectory            | Deteriorating (Transient) | Slashed to 25% from 35.5% (QoQ); recovery depends on normalizing power costs and high-margin exports in Q2-Q4.                                                    | □         |
| Pricing Power                | Stable                    | Liberty to pass on price increases to customers on a per-purchase order (PO) basis remains.                                                                       | □         |
| FCF Conversion & Quality     | Distorted                 | High inventory (₹230-240 Cr) to support deferred sales and previous (now cancelled) shutdown plans.                                                               | □         |
| Competitive Moat Signals     | Stable                    | 72% backward integration maintained; DSM (Vitamins) project at peak volumes.                                                                                      | □         |
| Balance Sheet Strength       | Strong                    | Zero utilization of working capital limits; ₹150 Cr in cash/liquid investments.                                                                                   | □         |
| Working Capital Efficiency   | Deteriorating             | Inventory spiked further to support a shutdown that is now being handled in a "phased manner."                                                                    | □         |
| Mgmt Guidance Track Record   | Reliable                  | Historically accurate, but Q1 puts massive pressure on Q3/Q4 to over-deliver.                                                                                     | □         |
| Key Vulnerability            | Regulatory/Legal          | The judicial custody of a GM over a CBN export authorization lapse is an ESG/Governance watchpoint.                                                               | □         |
| Management Tone              | Resilient                 | Focused on recovering lost production and maintaining long-term CAGR targets despite "non-linear" quarterly results.                                              | □         |

Sentiment: □Neutral

**Key Takeaways:** \* **Positives:** Underlying demand is decoupling from operational hiccups; the ₹35 Cr revenue "loss" is actually a "deferment" to Q2/Q3. Backward integration (72%) remains a formidable cost barrier. The Ambernath EU audit date (Nov-2026) provides a concrete timeline for the high-margin FDF ramp-up. \* **Negatives:** Governance/Compliance oversight led to a legal imbroglio and an employee arrest, which is a significant "soft risk" for a regulated pharma player. Financials were messy due to retrospective power costs and unexpected water scarcity in a high-rainfall zone. \* **Street Concern:** Analysts were deeply concerned about the "Customs/CBN" issue and the volatility of margins. Management's response—that it was a localized technical

oversight and that power costs are pass-through—seemed to calm immediate fears, but the execution bar for H2 FY27 is now exceptionally high. \* **Watchpoint:** Flawless resolution of the Customs legal case and the successful completion of the EU audit in November are the two binary catalysts for the stock.

## 2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

### 2A. KEY METRICS

DATA SOURCE: PPT not available — numbers from concall.

| Metric                 | Current Qtr | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary                                                    |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Revenue (₹Cr)          | 190.0       | ↑ 31.0%    | ↓ 31.4%    | ↓     | Growth YoY robust, but QoQ hit by ₹35 Cr water-shortage deferment. |
| India Revenue (%)      | 19.0%       | →          | →          | →     | Domestic share stable; exports remain the core driver.             |
| Export Revenue (%)     | 81.0%       | →          | →          | →     | Asia (39%), Europe (35%), LATAM (20%).                             |
| EBITDA (₹Cr)           | 47.0        | ↓ 8.1%     | ↓ 52.0%    | ↓     | Impacted by ₹10.5 Cr transient cost headwinds (Power/Solvents).    |
| EBITDA Margin %        | 25.0%       | ↓ 1,000bps | ↓ 1,050bps | ↓     | Significant compression from prior quarter's 35.5%.                |
| PAT (₹Cr)              | 24.0        | ↓ 31.4%    | ↓ 67.5%    | ↓     | Hit by lower operating profit and higher tax/depreciation.         |
| PAT Margin %           | 12.7%       | ↓ 1,140bps | ↓ 1,410bps | ↓     | Reflects operational deleverage in a "one-off" quarter.            |
| Inventory (₹Cr)        | 230-240     | ↑          | ↑          | □     | High levels due to preemptive build-up for a now-phased shutdown.  |
| Cash/Liquid Inv (₹Cr)  | 150.0       | →          | →          | □     | Strong liquidity to fund Patalganga Phase 1.                       |
| Backward Integration   | 72.0%       | ↓ 400bps   | ↓ 400bps   | ↓     | Slight dip from 76% in Q4 but remains high.                        |
| Depreciation (Est. FY) | 35-40       | ↑          | ↑          | ↓     | Increasing as Ambernath capitalization kicks in (₹14-15 Cr).       |

### 2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

| Segment        | Revenue (₹ Cr) | YoY Growth | Margin   | Trend | vs Company Avg | Key Development                                                 |
|----------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vitamins (DSM) | Not Stated     | High       | High     | ↑     | Above          | Pharma validation complete; dedicated facility at peak volumes. |
| Anesthetics    | Not Stated     | Stable     | Mid-High | →     | In-line        | Two new liquid products launched in Q2; CEP expected Oct-Nov.   |
| CVS            | Not Stated     | High       | Mid      | ↑     | In-line        | Q3 FY26 launch now commercialized; domestic traction strong.    |
| ADHD           | Not Stated     | High       | High     | ↑     | Above          | Scaling well in LATAM/Europe; two new products in pipeline.     |
| Formulations   | Miniscule      | New        | TBD      | →     | TBD            | Ambernath EU audit scheduled for Nov-2026.                      |

### 3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category        | Management Target / Claim     | Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility                                | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance  | Revenue         | ₹1,000 Cr FY27 Target         | Needs ₹810 Cr over 9 months (~₹270 Cr/ qtr). Requires 42% YoY growth in H2. | On Track (Adjusted) | Moderate  |
| Guidance  | Margins         | 33% - 35% EBITDA              | Requires margins to bounce back to ~38% in H2 to average out.               | Missed (Q1)         | High      |
| Guidance  | New Launches    | ADHD & Contrast Media         | Contrast Media now expected H2 FY27 (delayed from Q4 FY26).                 | Delayed             | Moderate  |
| Guidance  | Capex Plan      | ₹200 Cr Phase 1 Patalganga    | Construction of boundary walls started; API blocks prioritized.             | On Track            | Low       |
| Strategy  | Operating Model | Phased Maintenance            | Cancelled Aug shutdown for Blocks A-D; now phased to minimize hit.          | Improved            | Low       |
| Strategy  | CDMO Mix        | Ambernath Ramp-up             | Targeted as a 3-4 year vertical; Nov audit is the key unlock.               | On Track            | Moderate  |
| Macro     | Power Costs     | Solar Policy Reversal         | ₹8 Cr impact in Q1; ₹5 Cr was retrospective. Pass-through possible.         | Ongoing             | Moderate  |
| Macro     | Water Supply    | Lote Industrial Belt Scarcity | Resolved; monsoon onset has normalized Koyna River levels.                  | Resolved            | Low       |
| Balance   | Liquidity       | Internal Accruals             | Self-funding ₹200 Cr capex via ₹150 Cr cash + current OCF.                  | Delivered           | Low       |

## 4. ANALYST Q&A

---

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm          | Theme Cluster    | Category             | Underlying Concern                                                               | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                         | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict             |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1  | 4.0       | Adityapal / MSA Capital | Patalganga Delay | Capex and Allocation | "Why is there a delay in breaking land and starting construction at Patalganga?" | Management confirmed boundary wall construction has started and the API block is the first priority to address Lote capacity constraints. This signals that the long-term volume driver is finally moving out of the planning phase. | None                   | 4.0         | Quantified timeline |
| 2  | 4.5       | Adityapal / MSA Capital | Ambernath Audit  | Mgmt Commentary      | "When can we really start generating revenues for the EU regulated markets?"     | The EU audit for the Ambernath facility is officially scheduled for the second half of November 2026. This provides a hard catalyst for high-margin formulation exports starting late FY27/early FY28.                               | None                   | 5.0         | Specific date given |
| 3  | 4.0       | Nikhil / SIMPL          | Water Risk       | Business Overview    | "What are we thinking to de-risk ourselves from longer-term water shortages?"    | Management is working with consultants to implement water recycling for utilities (cooling towers) since recycled water cannot be used in APIs. This identifies a previously unrecognized                                            | None                   | 3.0         | Strategic intent    |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster  | Category          | Underlying Concern                                                               | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict             |
|----|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
|    |           |                |                |                   |                                                                                  | climate risk that now requires structural capex to mitigate.                                                                                                                                                                                                |                        |             |                     |
| 4  | 3.5       | Nikhil / SIMPL | Solar Subsidy  | Financials        | "Why do you think the solar subsidy [cost] is a one-time thing?"                 | Approximately ₹4.5-5 Cr of the cost was a retrospective collection for the March-July period, while future hikes will be passed to customers on a PO-basis. This de-risks future EBITDA margins from being permanently suppressed by current energy policy. | None                   | 4.0         | Specific financials |
| 5  | 4.5       | Nikhil / SIMPL | Contrast Media | Business Overview | "How are we managing challenges in getting specifications [for contrast media]?" | Launch is delayed two quarters as R&D fine-tunes a more cost-competitive process due to raw material price volatility. This confirms management's "margin-first" philosophy over chasing empty revenue growth.                                              | None                   | 4.0         | Logical rationale   |
| 6  | 5.0       | Nikhil / SIMPL | Customs Issue  | Governance        | "Is this issue resolved now... why have we still booked the sales?"              | The matter is sub judice, but the company has received a new export authorization which they                                                                                                                                                                | Legal specifics        | 3.0         | Hedges on timing    |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm        | Theme Cluster       | Category   | Underlying Concern                                                    | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict               |
|----|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
|    |           |                       |                     |            |                                                                       | believe regularizes the single, low-value consignment. Investors must monitor the High Court outcome to ensure no systemic license risks exist.                                                                                                        |                        |             |                       |
| 7  | 4.0       | Mulesh / Shah & Savla | Guidance Reconf.    | Financials | "May I get some reconfirmation on guidance... and Q2 cost estimates?" | Management reaffirmed the ₹1,000 Cr revenue and 33-35% EBITDA guidance, stating lost Q1 sales will be recovered in subsequent quarters. This sets a very high bar for Q2-Q4 execution, making any further slips critical.                              | None                   | 4.0         | Reaffirmed target     |
| 8  | 4.5       | Mulesh / Shah & Savla | Documentation Lapse | Governance | "How was the renewal of a very important license missed by our team?" | It was not a license renewal but a 2-day technical lapse where a consignment-specific export authorization expired before the shipping bill was raised. This clarifies it as a process failure rather than a regulatory ban on the company's products. | None                   | 4.0         | Process clarification |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm            | Theme Cluster    | Category             | Underlying Concern                                                      | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                            | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict               |
|----|-----------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 9  | 3.5       | □□□□□/<br>Padmaja         | Employee Custody | Governance           | "What is the status of the general manager... is he still behind bars?" | The GM remains in judicial custody as the matter is sub judice. This confirms a significant human cost and potential morale/ reputational overhang for the middle management layer.                     | None                   | 2.0         | Hedged/<br>Legal      |
| 10 | 4.0       | Nirmam Mehta / Unique PMS | CMO Contracts    | Business Overview    | "Any updates on the CMO contracts that you are expecting?"              | Close to signing a term sheet for a large anesthetic CDMO contract following quality approval. Success here would validate the Ambernath business model and provide high-visibility, long-term revenue. | Terms of contract      | 3.0         | Advanced stage        |
| 11 | 4.0       | Nirmam Mehta / Unique PMS | DSM Ramp-up      | Business Overview    | "Does the vitamin growth mean the DSM ramp-up is happening?"            | DSM volumes have reached a stable peak with the dedicated facility now fully operational. This provides a steady-state margin floor for the core Vitamin segment.                                       | None                   | 5.0         | Specific and verified |
| 12 | 3.5       | Nirmam Mehta /            | New Block Capex  | Capex and Allocation | "Have we started work on Block F?"                                      | Construction will start in the next couple of                                                                                                                                                           | None                   | 4.0         | Phased plan           |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm             | Theme Cluster    | Category          | Underlying Concern                                                                         | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                    | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict                 |
|----|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
|    |           | Unique PMS                 |                  |                   |                                                                                            | quarters. This timing ensures capacity expansion at Lote aligns with the projected FY28 demand curve.                                                                                           |                        |             |                         |
| 13 | 4.0       | Rachna Kukreja / SIMPL     | US Market        | Business Overview | "What drove the sequential improvement in North America?"                                  | Validations for new DMF filings (Anesthetics/ ADHD) are leading to volume pick-up. North America represents the next frontier for regulated market margin expansion.                            | None                   | 4.0         | Directional evidence    |
| 14 | 3.0       | Saloni Singh (Inv)         | Other Employees  | Governance        | "Is there any other employee involved in the [customs] matter?"                            | Management stated no other employees are involved. This limits the "contagion" risk of the investigation within the organization.                                                               | None                   | 5.0         | Categorical denial      |
| 15 | 4.5       | Tushar Bohra / MK Ventures | Process Controls | Mgmt Commentary   | "What is being done to strengthen the company's processes and controls [after the lapse]?" | Implementing SAP (live in 4-5 months) and quality software like TrackWise to replace manual interventions. This is a critical technological upgrade that addresses the root cause of the recent | None                   | 5.0         | Specific software named |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict |
|----|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
|    |           |                |               |          |                    | documentation failure.                       |                        |             |         |

## PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT

- **The Compliance Anxiety:** Analysts were visibly shaken by the Customs/CBN documentation failure and the subsequent arrest of a General Manager. Management's strategy was to frame this as a "one-off human oversight" involving a single consignment rather than a systemic failure. While the implementation of SAP and TrackWise is a positive corrective action, the sub judice nature of the case remains a lingering governance overhang.
- **The Margin Recovery Roadmap:** Analysts pushed hard on the math of the 33-35% EBITDA guidance. Management was confident that passing through power costs and recovering deferred high-margin sales would restore the trend. However, the street remains cautious as the company now has zero room for error in the remaining three quarters.
- **Capacity & CDMO Transition:** There is a palpable excitement around the "Ambernath + Patalganga" transition from a pure API player to a CMO/CDMO injectable player. The Nov-2026 audit date is the most significant piece of information provided this quarter, as it sets the stopwatch for the next leg of re-rating.

**Analyst Sentiment Verdict: Cautiously Supportive.** Analysts appreciated the transparency regarding the water/power one-offs but remained wary of the governance lapse. The credibility of the ₹1,000 Cr target survived the call because the ₹35 Cr "miss" was clearly a timing issue (deferment) rather than a loss of demand.

## GUIDANCE GAPS REVEALED IN Q&A

| Topic                | What Mgmt Claimed (Prior Q)     | What Q&A Revealed          | Gap / Walk-back                                                                         | Risk to Thesis               |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Maintenance Shutdown | Planned full shutdown in August | Now a "phased maintenance" | <b>Strategic Pivot:</b> Changed to avoid further production loss after Q1 water issues. | Low (Execution risk)         |
| Contrast Media       | Expected Q4 FY26 → H2 FY27      | Remains H2 FY27            | <b>Confirmed Delay:</b> Process improvement still ongoing.                              | Low (Short-term lag)         |
| EBITDA Margins       | 33-35%                          | 25% (Q1 Actual)            | <b>Large Gap:</b> Headwinds were deeper than anticipated; recovery is back-ended.       | High (Margin target at risk) |

## 5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

| What Changed         | Prior Quarter (Q4 FY26)     | This Quarter (Q1 FY27)                       | Direction                     |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Revenue Run-rate     | ₹277 Cr (Record high)       | ₹190 Cr (Water-impacted)                     | ↓ Deteriorating               |
| EBITDA Margin        | 35.5%                       | 25.0%                                        | ↓ Deteriorating               |
| Governance Risk      | USFDA EIR Received (Clean)  | Customs/CBN documentation lapse; GM arrested | ↓ Deteriorating               |
| Operational Strategy | Full plant shutdown planned | Phased maintenance adopted                   | ↑ Improving (for revenue)     |
| Energy Costs         | Passing through RM          | Absorbing retrospective solar hits           | ↓ Deteriorating               |
| Ambernath Timeline   | Audit expected              | Nov-2026 audit date confirmed                | ↑ Improving (Visibility)      |
| Systems              | Manual/Legacy               | SAP implementation in progress               | ↑ Improving (Risk mitigation) |
| Inventory Buffer     | ₹200 Cr                     | ₹230-240 Cr                                  | ↓ Deteriorating (WC drag)     |

**INVESTOR NOTES:** \* **Earnings Quality:** CFO/PAT ratio is likely poor this quarter as PAT fell 67% QoQ while inventory *increased* by ~₹40 Cr. This signifies a cash burn to support finished goods that couldn't be shipped due to water scarcity and documentation issues. \* **The "Human Oversight" Hedge:** Management's admission of a 2-day technical lapse is a double-edged sword; it suggests the regulatory issue is minor but also highlights a lack of automated triggers in their legacy logistics systems (now being addressed via SAP). \* **Required Run-rate:** To hit the ₹1,000 Cr revenue target, Supriya must average ₹270 Cr per quarter for the next three quarters—a level they have only touched once in history (Q4 FY26). Any further operational disruption (like the Q1 water issue) will make this target mathematically impossible.

STOP HERE.