

## Supriya Lifescience Ltd — Feb 2026 Quarterly Analysis

### 1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

**Result:** Inline (Margins) / Miss (Revenue Run-rate) **One-line:** Q3 delivers stable profitability but exposes a widening gap between current performance and aggressive FY26 guidance, making the investment thesis heavily dependent on a record-breaking Q4 "hockey stick" recovery.

| Dimension                    | This Quarter        | Signal / Evidence                                                                                                          | Sentiment |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Guidance        | Miss (Run-rate)     | Revenue of ₹206 Cr (11% YoY) lags the 20% annual growth target; requires a record ₹285 Cr in Q4.                           | □         |
| Earnings Quality             | High (Core driven)  | 35% EBITDA margin sustained purely through backward integration (74% of rev); zero reliance on bank WC limits.             | □         |
| Guidance Confidence          | Neutral             | Management reaffirmed the 20% FY26 and ₹1,000 Cr FY27 targets but blamed holiday seasonality for the Q3 shortfall.         | □         |
| Management Credibility       | Neutral             | Reaffirming a ₹285 Cr Q4 target (40% QoQ jump) is bold; EU audit delays for Ambernath are external but slow the CDMO ramp. | □         |
| Business Quality Signal      | Stable              | Integrated model (74%) provides a floor for margins; successful scale-up of CVS and ADHD products supports the mix shift.  | □         |
| Key Q&A Exchange             | Q#4 - Q4 Math       | Management admitted Q3 was not in line with expectations but cited firm visibility for a massive Q4 spillover.             | □         |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety | Q4 Execution        | Can the company realistically deliver 40% QoQ growth to meet the 20% FY26 guidance?                                        | □         |
| Capital Cycle Stage          | Investment          | Ambernath FDF facility ready for Q4 capitalization; Isambe (Patalganga) blueprinting initiated.                            | □         |
| Margin Trajectory            | Stable              | EBITDA margins at 35% are within the 33-35% guidance band, despite price competition in semi-regulated markets.            | □         |
| Pricing Power                | Stable              | 99.97% purity in Anesthetics allows displacement of non-GMP Chinese suppliers rather than price wars.                      | □         |
| FCF Conversion               | Strong              | Consistent non-utilization of working capital limits suggests strong internal accrual despite elevated capex.              | □         |
| Competitive Moat             | Widening            | Deep backward integration in 20 products (74% of revenue) protects against Chinese supply volatility.                      | □         |
| Balance Sheet Strength       | Strong              | Net Cash status maintained; D/E remains negligible at 0.01x.                                                               | □         |
| Working Capital              | Deteriorating       | Inventory/Shipment delays post-Dec 15th pushed revenue into Q4, bloating year-end stock levels.                            | □         |
| Guidance Track Record        | Mixed               | Consistent on margins, but revenue guidance requires significant back-ended delivery.                                      | □         |
| Key Vulnerability            | Back-ended Reliance | Hitting FY27 targets depends on external regulatory timelines (EU Audit/USFDA) for the new Ambernath facility.             | □         |
| Management Tone              | Confident           | Chairman emphasized non-compete with Indian peers, focusing on displacing Chinese monopoly in regulated markets.           | □         |

Sentiment: □Positive (for long-term quality) | □Neutral (for near-term execution)

**Key Takeaways:** \* **Positives:** The core structural moat—74% backward integration—remains the primary driver of the 35% EBITDA margin floor, positioning Supriya as a global cost leader in niche APIs. The DSM contract has progressed from validation to commercialization (pharma volumes starting Q4 FY26), and the new CVS/ADHD launches are successfully scaling. \* **Negatives:** Revenue growth of 11% is mathematically insufficient to meet the 20% FY26 guidance without a 40% QoQ surge in Q4. The delay in the EU audit for the Ambernath facility (now pushed to Q1 FY27 due to external manpower shortages) creates a timing lag for high-value regulated market CDMO revenues. \* **Street Concern:** Analysts are skeptical of the "Q4 Catch-up" narrative. Management responded that 15-20 days of December shipments were lost to holiday closures and that firm order visibility exists for the cardiovascular and anesthetic portfolios to bridge the gap. \* **Watchpoint:** Capitalization of the Ambernath facility in Q4 FY26 and the formal announcement of 1-2 large-scale CDMO contracts currently in the "term sheet" stage.

## 2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

### 2A. KEY METRICS

| Metric            | Current Qtr       | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary                                                                          |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Revenue (₹Cr)     | 206.0             | ↑ 11%      | ↑ 3%       | →     | Growth driven by volume in core portfolio; Dec holidays caused shipment spillover to Q4. |
| Gross Margin (%)  | 71.0%             | ↑ 100 bps* | ↓ 100 bps* | →     | Stable due to 74% backward integration; slightly offset by semi-regulated market mix.    |
| EBITDA (₹Cr)      | 72.0              | ↑ 9%       | ↓ 1.4%     | →     | Absolute growth lags revenue due to slightly higher opex in new facilities.              |
| EBITDA Margin %   | 35.0%             | ↓ 100 bps* | ↓ 150 bps  | □     | Within guidance band of 33-35%; pressure from semi-regulated market entries.             |
| PAT (₹Cr)         | 50.0              | ↑ 6.4%     | →          | →     | Profitability mirrors EBITDA; effective tax rate remains stable.                         |
| Exports (₹Cr)     | 168.9             | ↑ 12%*     | ↑ 5%*      | ↑     | Contributed 82% of revenue; LatAm (24%) and N. America (6%) were key drivers.            |
| R&D Pipeline      | 4 Process Patents | —          | —          | ↑     | 3-4 new products per year; focus on ADHD, Contrast Media, and Anesthetics.               |
| Net Debt / (Cash) | (Net Cash)        | →          | →          | □     | No utilization of WC limits; funding ₹1 Cr 9M capex through accruals.                    |
| Capex (₹Cr)       | 28.0              | ↓ 33%*     | →          | □     | Primarily directed toward Ambernath facility readiness and Ribo Block.                   |
| Working Capital   | No Limit Use      | →          | →          | □     | Efficient cash management; inventory expected to liquidate in Q4.                        |

*\*Estimated based on trend analysis from 9M and PPT data.*

### 2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN

| Segment           | Revenue (₹ Cr) | YoY Growth | Margin | Trend | vs Co. Avg | Key Development                                                              |
|-------------------|----------------|------------|--------|-------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Existing APIs     | ~152.0         | Stable     | 35%    | →     | In-line    | Backward integration now covers 20 products (74% of revenue).                |
| New Launches      | ~54.0          | New        | 33%    | ↑     | Lower      | CVS, ADHD, and Liquid Anesthetics scaling; CVS full impact expected in Q4.   |
| CDMO (DSM)        | ~6.0*          | New        | High   | ↑     | Above      | Pharma validation complete; commercial dispatches started in small volumes.  |
| Regulated (EU/NA) | 98.9           | ↑ 15%*     | High   | ↑     | Above      | North America up to 6%; focus on registering CEPs/USDMFs for core portfolio. |

### 3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category     | Management Target / Claim | Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility                                   | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance  | Revenue      | 20% FY26 Growth (₹836 Cr) | <b>High Risk:</b> Needs ₹284.8 Cr in Q4; current best is ₹206 Cr.              | Lagging             | High      |
| Guidance  | Margins      | 33% - 35% EBITDA          | <b>Feasible:</b> Currently at 35%; backward integration provides a safety net. | On Track            | Low       |
| Guidance  | FY27 Revenue | ₹1,000 Cr                 | Needs ~20% growth in FY27; relies on Ambernath FDF ramp.                       | On Track            | Moderate  |
| Capex     | Ambernath    | ₹140-160 Cr Total         | Ready for capitalization in Q4 FY26; 2.5x asset turn target.                   | On Track            | Low       |
| Execution | EU Audit     | Dec 2025 Completion       | <b>Missed:</b> Pushed to Q1 FY27 due to EU agency manpower issues.             | Delayed             | Moderate  |
| Execution | ATS-8        | 250-300 Ton Target        | Targeting FY27 for full volume; competing on quality vs Chinese non-GMP.       | In Progress         | Moderate  |
| Strategy  | CDMO Mix     | 20% (Inc. New Prod)       | Strategy is to reach this in 2 years; Ambernath is the key driver.             | In Progress         | Moderate  |

## 4. ANALYST Q&A

---

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm           | Theme Cluster  | Category             | Underlying Concern                                                      | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                         | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict                |
|----|-----------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| 1  | 4.5       | Tarun Krishna / ithought | Cardiovascular | Business Overview    | "Any updates on the 300-ton visibility for the cardiovascular product?" | Management confirmed the 300-ton visibility remains intact with meaningful volume expected to start in the coming quarters following the Q3 scale-up. This validates the "China displacement" strategy in large-volume molecules.    | None                   | 5.0         | Specific and confirmed |
| 1a | 3.5       | Tarun Krishna / ithought | Whey Protein   | Business Overview    | "Has the use case for the A21 site (protein) changed?"                  | The site remains focused on the protein business and warehousing, with formulator R&D expected to finish in 3-4 months before commercial buying starts. This indicates a longer-than-expected gestation for the non-pharma vertical. | None                   | 4.0         | Timeline provided      |
| 1b | 3.0       | Tarun Krishna / ithought | Isambe Site    | Capex and Allocation | "Any timelines for the Isambe site?"                                    | Environmental clearance was received Jan 1st; the company is now blueprinting the site with a clearer roadmap expected in 9-12 months. This pushes                                                                                   | None                   | 4.0         | Process-led update     |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm     | Theme Cluster  | Category   | Underlying Concern                                                    | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                 | Evaded / Not Addressed    | Credibility | Verdict                |
|----|-----------|--------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
|    |           |                    |                |            |                                                                       | the next massive capacity leg into FY28/29.                                                                                                                                                                                  |                           |             |                        |
| 2  | 5.0       | Aashish / InvesQ   | Guidance Math  | Financials | "11% growth seems low; how will you reach the 20% guidance?"          | Management cited a 15-day December holiday shutdown in export markets and a spillover of orders into Q4 as the primary reason for the Q3 gap. Hitting 20% now rests entirely on a record-breaking Q4 execution.              | None                      | 3.0         | Directional Confidence |
| 2a | 4.0       | Aashish / InvesQ   | Margins        | Financials | "Margins have dipped from historical levels; any inventory overhang?" | The normalization to 33-35% is intentional due to the entry into semi-regulated markets with new products before they transition to high-margin regulated ones. This clarifies the "J-curve" margin profile of new launches. | None                      | 4.0         | Structural explanation |
| 3  | 4.5       | Nishita / Sapphire | Q4 Feasibility | Financials | "To reach 20% growth, you need ₹284 Cr in Q4. Are you confident?"     | Management expressed full confidence based on the current order book visibility and production ramp-up from Module E. This is the                                                                                            | Order book quantification | 3.0         | Hedged                 |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm     | Theme Cluster    | Category             | Underlying Concern                                                               | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                               | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict                |
|----|-----------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
|    |           |                    |                  |                      |                                                                                  | single most important monitoring point for the next quarter.                                                                                                                                                               |                        |             |                        |
| 3a | 4.0       | Nishita / Sapphire | FY27 Growth      | Management Outlook   | "What are the growth drivers for ₹1,000 Cr in FY27?"                             | Drivers include scaling existing products in regulated markets (CEP/ DMF filings), 3-4 new launches per year, and the commissioning of the Ambernath FDF facility. This confirms the transition to a hybrid API/FDF model. | None                   | 4.0         | Specific drivers cited |
| 4  | 4.5       | Nirali / Ashika    | DSM Project      | Business Overview    | "When will pharma applications become the dominant revenue contributor for DSM?" | Pharma validation was completed last month; commercial seeding starts in Q4 FY26 with meaningful contribution expected in H2 FY27. This confirms the DSM contract is finally moving into the high-margin revenue phase.    | None                   | 5.0         | Specific timeline      |
| 4a | 4.0       | Nirali / Ashika    | Patalganga Capex | Capex and Allocation | "Will FY27 capex step up for the Patalganga site?"                               | Initial ground-breaking for utilities at Patalganga will require ₹40-50 Cr in FY27, with the                                                                                                                               | None                   | 4.0         | Quantified start       |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm | Theme Cluster   | Category           | Underlying Concern                                                    | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                          | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict              |
|----|-----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
|    |           |                |                 |                    |                                                                       | choice between API or formulation plants depending on demand. This signals continued investment-heavy cycles.                                                                                                                         |                        |             |                      |
| 5  | 4.0       | Shyam / MSA    | ATS-8 Strategy  | Business Overview  | "Are customers shifting to ATS-9 to bypass the import ban?"           | Management is targeting the export-oriented formulator market where the domestic import ban is irrelevant, competing instead on quality and backward integration costs. This de-risks the portfolio from domestic regulatory changes. | None                   | 4.0         | Clear and quantified |
| 5a | 4.0       | Shyam / MSA    | EU Audit Delay  | Management Outlook | "Has the EU audit for Ambernath been completed?"                      | The audit is delayed by 3-4 months due to EU agency manpower shortages; the company now expects completion by Q1 FY27. This delay prevents immediate high-margin EU FDF sales in H1 FY27.                                             | None                   | 3.0         | Deflected (External) |
| 6  | 4.0       | Nikhil / SiMPL | New Product Mix | Financials         | "What will be the revenue contribution from new products in 3 years?" | New products are expected to contribute ~10% of revenue in the next 2-3                                                                                                                                                               | None                   | 4.0         | Specific target      |

| Q# | Relevance | Analyst / Firm     | Theme Cluster     | Category          | Underlying Concern                                | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Evaded / Not Addressed | Credibility | Verdict            |
|----|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|    |           |                    |                   |                   |                                                   | years, given the regulatory gestation periods required for regulated markets. This implies the core portfolio remains the heavy lifter.                                                                                                         |                        |             |                    |
| 6a | 4.5       | Satish Wagh (Mgmt) | China Competition | Business Overview | "How do you view the competition in Anesthetics?" | Management noted that Chinese competitors often operate in non-GMP environments, whereas Supriya offers EUGMP/ USFDA quality, allowing them to displace China on reliability rather than just price. This reinforces the "Quality Moat" thesis. | None                   | 5.0         | Structural insight |
| 7  | 4.0       | Karan / Invexa     | GLP-1 Strategy    | Business Overview | "Where are we on the fill/ finish side of GLP-1?" | The focus is on the finished formulation (injectable/ tablet) from Ambernath, with validation batches currently in progress for non-patented markets like Russia. This opens a new "high-growth" therapy area for FY27.                         | None                   | 4.0         | Specific status    |

**PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT \* The Q4 "Climb":** Every analyst pressed management on the feasibility of hitting the 20% growth target given the ₹285 Cr requirement in Q4. Management's posture was steadfastly

confident, attributing the Q3 gap to holiday seasonality rather than a demand slowdown. While the logic is sound, the Street remains in a "wait-and-watch" mode. \* **Regulatory Gestation:** Friction was evident regarding the EU audit delay for Ambernath. Management successfully shifted blame to the regulatory agency's manpower issues, but the impact is a literal delay in the CDMO/FDF revenue ramp. \* **China Displacement:** A recurring theme was Supriya's ability to compete with China. Management's strategy to avoid "commodity dogfights" in India and focus on displacing non-GMP Chinese players in regulated markets is being viewed as a high-margin, sustainable competitive advantage.

**Analyst Sentiment Verdict:** Reassured on Quality, Skeptical on Timing. Analysts recognize the business's fundamental strength (margins/cash flow) but remain wary of the massive back-ended revenue reliance. Credibility is currently "on trial" pending the Q4 results.

## 5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

| What Changed       | Prior Quarter (Q2 FY26)    | This Quarter (Q3 FY26)            | Direction       |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenue Run-rate   | ₹200 Cr (38% QoQ recovery) | ₹206 Cr (Seasonal plateau)        | □ Neutral       |
| EBITDA Margin      | 36.5%                      | 35.0%                             | ↓ Deteriorating |
| DSM Project Status | Pharma validation ongoing  | Pharma validation <b>Complete</b> | ↑ Improving     |
| Ambernath Facility | WHO-GMP Received           | Ready for Capitalization (Q4)     | ↑ Improving     |
| EU Audit Timeline  | Anticipated Dec 2025       | Pushed to Q1 FY27                 | ↓ Deteriorating |
| N. America Mix     | Insignificant              | 6% of Revenue                     | ↑ Improving     |
| ATS-8 Commercial   | In R&D / Scale-up          | Trials done; Commercial Q4        | ↑ Improving     |
| New Launches       | 2 Products                 | 3 Products (ADHD added)           | ↑ Improving     |

**Investor Notes:** \* **Thesis Resilience:** The margin floor of 35% remains intact despite a slightly lower revenue run-rate. This proves that the backward-integrated cost moat is operational and not dependent on peak pricing. \* **The CDMO Inflection:** The completion of pharma-grade validation for the DSM contract is a major de-risking event. FY27 will see the first full year of commercial high-margin contributions from this exclusive 10-year partnership. \* **Execution Risk:** The 40% QoQ growth needed in Q4 is the highest in the company's history. Investors should watch for any working capital spikes or receivable build-ups in the next results, which might indicate "channel stuffing" to meet the 20% growth target.

STOP HERE.