

## Wonderla Holidays Ltd — Nov 2025 Quarterly Analysis

### 1. VERDICT & BUSINESS QUALITY SNAPSHOT

**Result:** Inline (Seasonally Adjusted) **One-line:** The long-term thesis remains intact as a high-conviction rollout story; the impending Chennai launch (Dec 2025) and the successful premiumization of the hospitality segment (The Isle) are successfully offsetting volume stagnation in "tenured" mature parks.

| Dimension                             | This Quarter     | Signal / Evidence                                                                                                                   | Sentiment |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beat/Miss vs Guidance / Prior Quarter | Inline           | Revenue of ₹80.15 Cr (+19% YoY) and EBITDA jump (8x YoY) aligns with the strategy to stabilize Q2 volatility.                       | ☐         |
| Earnings Quality                      | High (Core)      | Growth driven by operational recovery and ARPU efficiency; net loss of ₹1.75 Cr is purely seasonal.                                 | ☐         |
| Guidance Confidence                   | Strong           | Management reiterated the Dec 2025 launch for Chennai; construction is in advanced "finishing" stages.                              | ☐         |
| Management Credibility                | Strong           | Specific timelines provided for Chennai and the new BLR roller coaster; transparent regarding weather impacts in Hyderabad.         | ☐         |
| Business Quality Signal               | Improving        | Transformation from "Park Operator" to "Destination Resort" is working, evidenced by "The Isle" occupancy and revenue contribution. | ☐         |
| Key Q&A Exchange                      | Q5: Park Scale   | Management confirmed a preference for "Large Format" parks (Chennai/BBS size) to maintain brand premium.                            | ☐         |
| The Street's Primary Anxiety          | Land Acquisition | Delays in announcing the next park post-Chennai; Mgmt response: "Reassessing deals for financial viability."                        | ☐         |
| Capital Cycle Stage                   | Peak Investment  | Chennai capex is peaking (₹340 Cr in CWIP); shift to "Harvesting" starts in H2 FY26.                                                | ☐         |
| Margin Trajectory                     | Improving (YoY)  | EBITDA margins expanded from 1.6% to 9.3% YoY in a traditionally weak quarter.                                                      | ☐         |
| Pricing Power                         | Expanding        | ARPU remains the primary growth lever as mature parks hit volume ceilings.                                                          | ☐         |
| FCF Conversion & Quality              | Strong           | Balance sheet remains debt-free despite massive capex; cash/ investments at ₹429 Cr.                                                | ☐         |
| Competitive Moat Signals              | Stable           | In-house manufacturing (saving 3-4x on ride costs) remains a structural cost advantage.                                             | ☐         |
| Balance Sheet Strength                | Exceptional      | No debt, ₹165 Cr in cash, and ₹264 Cr in current investments.                                                                       | ☐         |
| Working Capital Efficiency            | Stable           | Standard negative working capital cycle; inventory increase (₹18.8 Cr) is for peak season stocking.                                 | ☐         |
| Mgmt Guidance Track Record            | Reliable         | Chennai timeline has held steady for three consecutive quarters.                                                                    | ☐         |
| Key Vulnerability                     | Weather          | 3% revenue degrowth in Hyderabad H1 due to dual cyclones highlights climate risk.                                                   | ☐         |
| Management Tone                       | Pragmatic        | Focused on disciplined capital allocation and "sweet deals" for land.                                                               | ☐         |

**Sentiment:** ☐Positive

**Key Takeaways (Positives & Negatives):** \* **Positives:** The pivot to **premium hospitality** is yielding results; "The Isle" resort in Bengaluru contributed significantly to Q2 performance with better occupancy and yield. **In-house manufacturing** remains a hidden asset, allowing WHL to build rides (like Ferris wheels) at 1/4th the cost of German imports, protecting ROIC. The **Chennai Park** (5th location) is confirmed for a Dec 2025 opening, which will be the single largest capacity jump in the company's history. \* **Negatives:** **Volume stagnation** in mature parks is now a structural reality; Bengaluru and Kochi are "tenured," meaning future growth is almost entirely ARPU-dependent. **Extreme weather** (cyclones/heavy rains) remains the Achilles' heel of the quarterly P&L, specifically hurting the Hyderabad cluster this half. \* **The Street's Concern:** Analysts are focused on the "next big thing" after Chennai. Management's refusal to rush into sub-optimal land deals in NCR/UP suggests a disciplined approach, though it creates a "growth perception gap" for FY27. \* **Watchpoint:** December 2025 "Soft Opening" of Chennai. The ramp-up speed here will determine if WHL can maintain its 20%+ EBITDA growth trajectory.

## 2. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

### 2A. KEY METRICS

DATA SOURCE: PPT figures primary. Concall used for commentary and non-financial metrics.

| Metric             | Current Qtr (Q2 FY26) | YoY Change | QoQ Change | Trend | Mgmt Commentary                                                          |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Revenue (₹Cr)      | 80.15                 | ↑ 19.0%    | ↓ 52.6%    | ↑     | Growth driven by higher footfalls (12% YoY) and strong non-ticket spend. |
| Gross Margin (%)   | 100%                  | →          | →          | →     | Business model has negligible COGS; primary costs are opex.              |
| EBITDA (₹Cr)       | 7.48                  | ↑ 788.5%   | ↓ 91.5%    | ↑     | Sharp YoY jump due to lower base and better cost absorption in Q2.       |
| EBITDA Margin %    | 9.3%                  | ↑ 770 bps  | ↓ 4230 bps | ↑     | Operational leverage starting to play out even in lean quarters.         |
| PAT (₹Cr)          | (1.75)                | ↑ 88.1%    | ↓ 103.3%   | ↑     | Seasonal loss narrowed significantly from ₹14.7 Cr loss in Q2 FY25.      |
| EPS (₹)            | (0.28)                | ↑ 89.2%    | ↓ 103.4%   | ↑     | Consistent with PAT improvement.                                         |
| Footfalls (Lakhs)  | 5.05                  | ↑ 12.0%    | ↓ 44.9%    | ↑     | Includes 0.24L from new Bhubaneswar park; core parks grew 6.6%.          |
| Non-Ticket Spend % | 30.0%                 | →          | →          | →     | Maintained 70/30 split; SPH growth led by F&B innovations.               |
| Net Cash (₹Cr)     | 429.95*               | ↑ 18.0%    | ↓ 2.3%     | ↑     | *Includes Cash (₹165 Cr) + Investments (₹264 Cr).                        |
| CWIP (₹Cr)         | 340.49                | ↑ 51.0%*   | ↑ 11.2%    | ↑     | *Vs March 2025. Primarily Chennai construction.                          |

### 2B. SEGMENT BREAKDOWN (FOOTFALLS)

| Segment      | Footfalls (Lakhs) | YoY Growth | ARPU (implied) | Trend | vs Co. Avg | Key Development                                        |
|--------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|-------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Bangalore    | 1.96              | ↑ 8.3%     | High           | ↑     | Above      | Resort occupancy up to 60%; "The Isle" driving yields. |
| Kochi        | 1.92              | ↑ 4.9%     | Medium         | ↑     | In-line    | Benefited from Onam campaigns; stable maturity.        |
| Hyderabad    | 0.93              | ↑ 6.9%     | High           | ↑     | Below      | Impacted by heavy rains/cyclones in Andhra region.     |
| Bhubaneswar  | 0.24              | New        | Low            | ↑     | Below      | "Category creation" phase; focus on F&B/Merchandise.   |
| Resort (BLR) | N/A               | ↑          | ARR 2x         | ↑     | Above      | ₹2 Cr revenue upside from Isle expansion.              |

### 3. MANAGEMENT OUTLOOK & EXECUTION TRACKER

| Dimension | Category       | Management Target / Claim                    | Required Run-Rate / Mathematical Feasibility              | Historical Delivery | Risk Flag |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Guidance  | Chennai Launch | Commercial operations in Dec 2025.           | On track; 80%+ construction complete based on CWIP spend. | Delivered           | Low       |
| Guidance  | BBS Footfall   | 3.0 Lakhs annual for FY26.                   | H1 at 1.2L; needs 1.8L in H2 (Q3 is peak). Feasible.      | In-Progress         | Medium    |
| Guidance  | Maintenance    | 10% of top-line as maintenance capex.        | Historically consistent; ₹17-20 Cr per park per annum.    | Delivered           | Low       |
| Strategy  | Productivity   | Launching U.S. Roller Coaster in BLR (FY27). | Lead time of 6-8 months for installation.                 | New Target          | Low       |
| Strategy  | Hospitality    | Integrate resorts at all parks.              | Plan to evaluate Chennai resort post-park stabilization.  | Ongoing             | Low       |
| Balance   | Leverage       | Maintain debt-free status.                   | ₹430 Cr liquidity vs ~₹130 Cr remaining Chennai spend.    | Delivered           | Low       |

## 4. ANALYST Q&A

---

| Q# | Rel. | Analyst / Firm           | Theme     | Category     | Underlying Concern                                                                                            | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                        | Evaded | Cred. | Verdict            |
|----|------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|
| 1  | 4.5  | Harsh Gupta / Ionic      | Ride Mfg  | Biz Overview | "Explain the process of how you're benefiting from this economy scale-driven indigenization?"                 | Management confirmed in-house manufacturing (Kochi/BLR/HYD) reduces ride costs by ~3-4x compared to German/European imports. This structural cost advantage protects ROIC as the company scales to new geographies. | None   | 5.0   | Clear & Quantified |
| 2  | 4.0  | Harsh Gupta / Ionic      | Expansion | Strategy     | "After Bhubaneswar and Chennai, there has been no announcement on any future parks so far."                   | Management noted they are reassessing government offers in Tier 1/2 cities to ensure financial viability and land deal "sweetness." Delays in land acquisition are a natural hurdle in large-gestation projects.    | None   | 3.0   | Prudent but Vague  |
| 3  | 5.0  | Angad / Sameeksha        | Maturity  | Financials   | "Is our strategy to premiumize mature park offerings as there seems to be limited scope for footfall growth?" | Management admitted mature parks focus on ARPU and "value-added products" like premium F&B to drive growth. This confirms WHL is transitioning from a volume-story to a realization-story in its core hubs.         | None   | 4.0   | Transparent        |
| 4  | 4.0  | Prolin Nandu / Edelweiss | Footfalls | Financials   | "Should we just think about FY23 as an anomaly and there was a                                                | Management agreed FY23 was a post-COVID spike and that current                                                                                                                                                      | None   | 4.0   | Realistic          |

| Q# | Rel. | Analyst / Firm         | Theme    | Category     | Underlying Concern                                                                                           | Management Response & Investment Implication                                                                                                                                                                     | Evaded | Cred. | Verdict           |
|----|------|------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
|    |      |                        |          |              | pent-up demand post-COVID?"                                                                                  | levels represent a "stabilized" growth path. This reset in expectations is crucial for long-term modeling of "normalized" footfalls.                                                                             |        |       |                   |
| 5  | 3.5  | Vivek Kumar / Bestpals | Strategy | Biz Overview | "The new parks, what do you prefer? Is it going to be Chennai kind of park or asset-light like Bhubaneswar?" | Management stated they will only build "Large Format" parks to protect brand equity, regardless of whether land is leased or owned. This ensures a consistent "Wonderla experience" across all future locations. | None   | 4.5   | Strategic Clarity |
| 6  | 4.0  | Harsh Kumar / MIV      | Chennai  | Outlook      | "Is it safe to assume that your Chennai Park would have peak footfalls of 10 lakhs annually?"                | Management expects a 3-4 year ramp-up period to reach 10-12L peak footfalls, similar to the Hyderabad trajectory. Initial years will likely see discounted pricing to "create the category."                     | None   | 4.0   | Directional       |
| 7  | 3.0  | Vinay / Hathway        | Resort   | Financials   | "Anything specific about the 60% occupancy in the Bangalore resort?"                                         | Management attributed the occupancy jump and ARR premium to "The Isle" (premium extension), which has been "well received." Hospitality is becoming a high-yield                                                 | None   | 4.0   | Confirmatory      |

| Q# | Rel. | Analyst / Firm | Theme | Category | Underlying Concern | Management Response & Investment Implication | Evaded | Cred. | Verdict |
|----|------|----------------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
|    |      |                |       |          |                    | stabilizer for the seasonal park business.   |        |       |         |

PATTERN FLAGS & SENTIMENT

The analyst community is fixated on the **"S-Curve" of Mature Parks**. Multiple questions (Angad, Prolin) probed whether Bengaluru and Kochi have reached a natural ceiling. Management’s posture was realistic—they have stopped promising double-digit volume growth in these hubs, pivoting instead to ARPU (Spend Per Head) and the "Isle" resort strategy. This shift was accepted by analysts as a logical margin-protection move.

**Analyst Sentiment Verdict: Pragmatically Optimistic.** Analysts appreciate the debt-free balance sheet and the concrete Dec 2025 timeline for Chennai. Friction remains around the **Land Acquisition Pipeline**, with analysts pushing for the "next city." Management's credibility remains high due to their consistent execution on construction and the successful scale-up of the Bhubaneswar park (now EBITDA positive).

5. WHAT CHANGED vs PRIOR QUARTER

Comparison vs Q1 FY26 (Prior context available).

| What Changed       | Prior Quarter (Q1 FY26)    | This Quarter (Q2 FY26)                | Direction             |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Seasonal Phase     | Peak Season (₹169 Cr Rev)  | Lean/Monsoon Season (₹80.15 Cr Rev)   | ↓ Cyclical            |
| Chennai Visibility | "Construction progressing" | "Operations starting Dec 2025"        | ↑ High Conviction     |
| BBS Performance    | 0.96L Footfalls (Peak)     | 0.24L Footfalls (Off-season)          | ↓ Seasonal Volatility |
| Resort Strategy    | Initial Isle launch        | Isle proving ARR/Occupancy premium    | ↑ Yield Accretion     |
| Future Pipeline    | "Advanced discussions"     | "Reassessing for financial viability" | ↓ Cautious Expansion  |
| CFO-to-PAT         | Not explicitly calculated  | Strong (Negative WC cycle)            | → Consistent          |

**Investor Notes:** \* **Thesis Change:** None. The core "Park Rollout" thesis remains on track. The risk of volume saturation in old parks is being mitigated by the hospitality pivot. \* **Earnings Quality:** Very High. Cash balances (₹430 Cr) are sufficient to fund Chennai completion (remaining ~₹130 Cr) and potentially the next park without any debt. \* **Forensic Trigger:** CWIP increase (₹340 Cr) matches the physical progress seen in Chennai; no signs of capex leakage. \* **Actionable Catalyst:** Watch for the official launch announcement of Chennai in late Q3; historical trends suggest a re-rating once the market prices in the 25% capacity expansion.

STOP.